

# The Study of Gamma Ray Absorption Parameters of Heavy Metal Oxide Thin Films

Ramandeep Kaur, Department of Physics, Punjabi University, Patiala, Punjab, India. Balkrishan, Department of Physics, University College, Chuni Kalan, Punjab, India. Ashok Kumar, Department of Physics, University College, Benra-Dhuri, Punjab, India, ajindal9999@gmail.com

Abstract: The present work deals with the study of mass attenuation coefficients of  $(PbO)_{1-x}(CdO)_x$ (x = 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1) heavy metal oxide thin films at 0.122, 0.356, 0.511, 0.662, 0.84, 1.17, 1.275 and 1.33 MeV respectively using XCOM software. The values of mass attenuation coefficient were further used to calculate other parameters such as effective atomic number and electron density. The variation of these shielding parameters with incident photon energy and chemical composition has been discussed. It has been found that the values of these shielding parameters decreases with increase in incident energy. The data will be useful for radiation safety and other shielding applications. The mass attenuation coefficients of the present thin films have been compared to the shielding concretes. The higher values of the mass attenuation coefficients of the present thein films indicates that they possess better shielding efficiency than concretes.

Keywords —Mass attenua<mark>tion</mark> coefficient, effective atomic number, elect<mark>ron d</mark>ensity, thin films.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Lead oxide (PbO) and cadmium oxide (CdO) both are transparent conducting oxides (TCOs) having low resistivity and high transmission characteristics [1]. These oxides have been widely used in semiconductor based device technology such as solar cells, photo transistors, photo diodes, optical heaters, gas sensors, IR detectors and liquid crystal displays [2]. PbO and CdO thin films both have n-type semi conductivity due to oxygen vacancies as well as high transparency in the visible region or extraordinary luminescence characteristics [3]. The resistivity of CdO is very low and exhibits direct band in the range 2.2-2.5 eV and indirect band gap of 1.98 eV [1]. TCOs have high melting point and high specific volume, therefore these oxides does not easily react with oxygen and water in air [3]. The shielding parameters of prepared thin films have been discussed such as mass attenuation coefficient  $(\mu_m)$ , effective atomic number  $(Z_{eff})$  and electron density (N<sub>e</sub>).

### **II. THEORY**

The attenuation coefficient is a measure of the average number of interactions between incident photon and matter that occur in a given mass-per-unit area thickness of the material encountered i.e. the attenuation coefficient is interaction process dependent. A narrow beam of monoenergetic photons having an initial intensity  $I_0$  is attenuated to an intensity 'I' after passing through a layer of material with mass-per-unit-area 'x', according to the exponential law:

(1)

(2)

where  $\mu'\rho$  is the mass attenuation coefficient. Above can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{n(I_0/I)}{x}$$

 $I = I_0 e^{-\mu \dots x}_m$ 

 $\mu_1$ 

The theoretical values of the mass attenuation coefficient have been determined by XCOM software based on mixture rule [4]

$$_{\rm m} = \sum_i W_i \, (\mu_m)_i \tag{3}$$

where  $(\mu_m)_i$  is the mass attenuation coefficient of each element in mixture and  $w_i$  is the fractional weight of the element in mixture.

The effective atomic number (Z<sub>eff</sub>) by following formula [5]  

$$Z_{eff} = \frac{\sigma_{t,a}}{\sigma_{t,el}}$$
(4)

where  $\sigma_{t, a}$  (barn/atom) is the total atomic crossection and  $\sigma_{t, el}$  (barn/atom) is the total electronic crossection.

The value of  $\sigma_{t,\ a}$  and  $\sigma_{t,\ el}$  has been determined by given formula [6 - 7]

$$\sigma_{t,a} = \frac{\mu_m M}{N_A \sum_i n_i} \tag{5}$$



$$\sigma_{t, el} = \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_i \frac{f_i}{Z_i} A_i(\mu_m)_i$$
(6)

where  $M = \sum n_i A_i$  is the molar mass,  $N_A$  is the Avogadro's constant, n<sub>i</sub> is the number of atoms of the element i having atomic weight  $A_i, f_i = \frac{n_i}{\sum n_i}$  is the number of atoms of the element relative to the total number of atoms present in the formula and  $Z_i$  is the atomic number of the element i.

The electron density (Ne) is the number of electrons per gram can be derived from [8]

$$N_{e} = \frac{\mu_{m}}{\sigma_{t,el}} = \frac{Z_{eff}}{M} N_{A} \sum_{i} n_{i}$$
(7)

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The theoretical values of mass attenuation coefficient  $\mu_m$  $(cm^2/g)$  for six thin films were determined by XCOM software based on mixture rule at 0.122, 0.356, 0.511, 0.662, 0.84, 1.17, 1.275 and 1.33 MeV energies and have been tabulated in Table 1.

Table 1. Mass attenuation coefficient of the selected thin films

Energy	Mass attenuation coefficient							
(MeV)	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6		
0.122	3.140	2.840	2.490	2.060	1.520	0.821		
0.356	0.274	0.255	0.232	0.205	0.170	0.124		
0.511	0.151	0.144	0.134	0.123	0.109	0.090		
0.662	0.108	0.104	0.099	0.093	0.085	0.076		
0.84	0.083	0.081	0.078	0.075	0.071	0.065		
1.17	0.062	0.061	0.059	0.058	0.056	0.054		
1.275	0.058	0.057	0.056	0.055	0.053	0.051		
1.33	0.056	0.055	0.054	0.053	0.052	0.050		

The variation of  $\mu_m$  of the selected thin films with incident photon energy displayed in Fig 1. It has been observed that the value of  $\mu_m$  decreases as photon energy increases. This is due to the dominance of Compton scattering crossection. From table 1, it is clear that S1 has maximum mass attenuation coefficient value and S6 has minimum value. The values of  $\mu_m$  ranges from 3.140-0.056 for S1, 2.840-0.055 for S2, 2.490-0.054 for S3, 2.060-0.053 for S4, 1.520-0.052 for S5 and 0.821-0.050 for S6.



Fig 1. Variation of mass attenuation coefficient with energy

Table 2. shows the values of effective atomic number  $(Z_{eff})$ at 0.122, 0.356, 0.511, 0.662, 0.84, 1.17, 1.275 and 1.33 MeV energies respectively.

Table 2. Effective atomic number of the selected thin
films

Energy	Effective atomic number							
(MeV)	S1	S2	<b>S</b> 3	S4	S5	S6		
0.122	79.55	77.19	73.88	68.88	60.45	43.23		
0.356	65.99	61.95	56.99	50.78	42.74	31.94		
0.511	59.45	55.18	50.28	44.61	37.97	30.07		
0.662	55.58	51.37	46.72	41.55	35.79	29.33		
0.84	52.83	48.73	44.33	39.58	34.46	28.89		
1.17	50.19	46.28	42.17	37.86	33.33	28.55		
1.275	49.78	45.90	41.84	37.60	33.17	28.52		
1.33	49.64	45.77	41.73	37.52	33.12	28.51		

The variation of  $Z_{eff}$  with incident photon energy of present thin films is shown in Fig 2. We conclude that the value of Z<sub>eff</sub> decreases as photon energy increases for the present thin films. This is attributed to the dominance of Compton scattering process. The value of Z<sub>eff</sub> ranges from 79.55-49.64 for S1, 77.19-45.77 for S2, 73.88-41.73 for S3, 68.88-37.52 for S4, 60.45-33.12 for S5 and 43.23-28.51 for S6. S1 have maximum value of Z<sub>eff</sub> due to the presence of maximum percentage composition of PbO.

The variation of electron density with incident photon energy is shown in Fig 3. and values are tabulated in Table 3. Fig 3. shows that the electron density decreases as energy of incident photon increases. The number of electrons present in S1 is maximum; therefore value of Ne is maximum for S1. This is due to the higher concentration of PbO present in it which in turn increases the value of Z<sub>eff</sub>.





Table 3. Electron density of the selected thin films

			v				
Energy	Electron density						
(MeV)	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	
0.122	4.29	4.55	4.80	4.99	4.94	4.05	
0.356	3.56	3.65	3.70	3.68	3.49	2.99	
0.511	3.21	3.25	3.27	3.23	3.10	2.82	
0.662	2.99	3.03	3.04	3.01	2.92	2.75	
0.84	2.85	2.87	2.88	2.87	2.82	2.71	
1.17	2.71	2.73	2.74	2.74	2.72	2.68	
1.275	2.69	2.71	2.72	2.72	2.71	2.67	
1.33	2.68	2.69	2.71	2.72	2.71	2.67	





Fig 3. Variation of electron density with energy

The mass attenuation coefficients of the present thin films have been compared to the shielding concretes of the bashter [9] and is represented in Fig. 4. It is found that the mass attenuation coefficients of the present thin films are higher than the mass attenuation coefficients of the standard shielding concretes. Thus the present thin films possess better shielding efficiency than concretes.



Fig. 4. Comparison of  $\mu_m$  of thin films to standard shielding concretes

#### **IV.** CONCLUSION

In the present work, gamma ray shielding features for different thin films of composition  $(PbO)_{1-x}(CdO)_x$  (x=0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1) were investigated at 0.122, 0.356, 0.511, 0.662, 0.84, 1.17, 1.275 and 1.33 MeV energies respectively in terms of  $\mu_m$ ,  $Z_{eff}$  and  $N_e$ . The  $\mu_m$  of all thin films were determined by WINXCOM software. The values of  $Z_{eff}$  and  $N_e$  were determined with the help of  $\mu_m$  at given energies. The  $\mu_m$  and  $Z_{eff}$  are maximum for S1 glass and are minimum for S6 glass whereas electron density is maximum for S4 and is minimum for S6. We conclude that all the shielding parameters decreases as photon energy increases due to the dominance of Compton scattering in the given energy region. The mass attenuation coefficients of the present thin films have been compared to the shielding concretes. The higher values of the mass

attenuation coefficients of the present thein films indicates that they possess better shielding efficiency than concretes.

#### REFERENCES

- H. M. Ali, M. Raaif, "Plasma oxidation of electron beam evaporated cadmium thin films", Thin Solid Films, vol. 520, pp. 4418 – 4421, 2012.
- [2] R. K. Gupta, K. Ghosh, R. Patel, S. R. Mishra, P. K. Kahol, "Structural, optical and electrical properties of In doped CdO thin films for opto electronic applications", Materials Letters, vol. 62, pp. 3373 – 3375, 2008.
- [3] Nahida B. Hasan and Mohammed Ahmed Mohammed, "Electrical properties of (PbO)1-x (CdO)x thin films fabricated by spray pyrolysis technique", Advances in Applied Science Research, vol. 6, pp. 116-121, 2015.
- [4] G. Lakshminarayan, Ashok Kumar, M.G. Dong, M. I. Sayyed, Nguyen Viet Long, M. A. Mahdi, "Exploration of gamma radiation shielding features for titanate bismuth borotellurite glasses using relevant software program and Monte Carlo simulation code", Journal of Non Crystalline Solids, vol. 481, pp. 65 – 73, 2018.
- [5] Shams A. M. Issa, Ashok Kumar, M. I. Sayyed, M. G. Dong, Y. Elmahroug, "Mechanical and gamma-ray shielding properties of TeO2-ZnO-NiO glasses", Materials Chemistry and Physics, vol. 212, pp. 12 20, 2018.
- [6] Ashok Kumar, "Studies on effective atomic numbers and electron densities of nucleobases in DNA", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, vol. 127, pp. 48 – 55, 2016.
- [7] Ashok Kumar, "Gamma ray shielding properties of  $PbO Li_2O B_2O_3$  glasses", Radiation Physics and Chemistry, vol. 136, pp. 50 53, 2017.
- [8] Mengge Dong, XiangxinXue, Ashok Kumar, He Yang, M.I. Sayyed, Shan Liu, Erjun Bu, "A novel method of utilization of hot dip galvanizing slag using the heat waste from itself for protection from radiation", Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 344, pp. 602-614, 2018.
- [9] I. I. Bashter, "Calculation of radiation attenuation coefficients for shielding concretes", Annals of Nuclear Energy, vol. 17, pp. 1389 – 1401, 1997.