

# On The Energy of Signed Graphs

Gurdip Singh Sodi

Department of Computer Science, SAM Govt. Degree College Budgam, J&K, India.

**Abstract:** Let  $S$  be a signed graph of order  $n$  and let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  be its adjacency eigenvalues. Then the energy of  $S$  is defined as  $E(S) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|$ . In this paper, we characterize positive rational numbers which can be the energy of a signed graph and obtain related results.

**Keywords – Signed Graph, Rational Number, eigenvalues.**

## I. INTRODUCTION

A signed graph is defined to be a pair  $S = (G, \sigma)$ , where  $G = (V, E)$  is the underlying graph and  $\sigma: E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is the sign function or signature. Our signed graphs will have simple underlying graphs. A signed graph is said to be homogenous if all of its edges have same sign and heterogeneous, otherwise. A graph  $G$  can be considered as all-positive signed graph and in this sense signed graphs are generalization of graphs. The sign of a signed graph is defined as the product of signs of its edges. The sign of a signed subgraph is the restriction of sign function to the subgraph. A cycle in a signed graph is positive if its sign is positive i.e., it contains an even number of negative edges. A signed graph is balanced if each of its cycle is positive and unbalanced, otherwise. For undefined notations and terminology see [7].

The adjacency matrix of a signed graph  $S = (G, \sigma)$  with vertex set  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A(S) = (a_{ij})$ , where  $a_{ij} = \sigma(v_i v_j)$ , if there is an edge from vertex  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  and zero, otherwise. The eigenvalues of  $A(S)$  are called the eigenvalues of  $S$ . The eigenvalues of a signed graph  $S$  is also called spectrum of  $S$  and we denote this by  $spec(S) = \{\mu_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Gutman [11] defined the energy of a graph as the sum of absolute values of its eigenvalues. Germina, Hameed and Zaslavsky [10] introduced the concept of energy in signed graph and defined the energy of a signed graph as the sum of absolute values of its eigenvalues i.e., if  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  are eigenvalues of  $S$  then the energy of  $S$  is defined as  $E(S) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|$ .

We recall the definitions of Cartesian product and conjunction of two signed graphs from [7].

Let  $S_1(V_1, E_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $S_2(V_2, E_2, \sigma_2)$  be two signed graphs with respective orders  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . The *Cartesian product* of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  denoted by  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a signed graph whose vertex set is  $V = V_1 \times V_2$ . Two vertices  $(x, y)$  and  $(p, q)$  are adjacent if either there is an edge  $xp$  in  $S_1$  or  $yc$  is edge in  $S_2$ . Moreover, sign of edge  $(x, y)(p, q)$  is  $\sigma_1(xp)$  or  $\sigma_2(yq)$  according as  $xp$  is an edge in  $S_1$  or  $yc$  is edge in  $S_2$ .

*Conjunction* of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  denoted by  $S_1 * S_2$  is a signed graph whose vertex set is  $V = V_1 \times V_2$ . Two vertices  $(x, y)$  and  $(p, q)$  are adjacent if there is an edge  $xp$  in  $S_1$  and  $yc$  is edge in  $S_2$ . Moreover, sign of edge  $(x, y)(p, q)$  is  $\sigma_1(xp) \sigma_2(yq)$ .

**Lemma 1** [7]. Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two signed graphs with respective order  $n_1, n_2$  and let their spectra be  $spec(S_1) = \{\theta_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1\}$  and  $spec(S_2) = \{\delta_j; j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$ . Then the spectrum of Cartesian product and the conjunction of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is given by  $spec(S_1 \times S_2) = \{\theta_i + \delta_j; i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1 \& j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$  and  $spec(S_1 * S_2) = \{\theta_i \delta_j; i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1 \& j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2\}$ .

From [8], we see that any rational root of monic polynomial having integral coefficients is an integer or equivalently any rational algebraic integer is an integer. Since characteristic polynomial of a signed graph is a monic polynomial with integral coefficients, therefore we have the following observation.

**Lemma 2.** If the eigen value of a signed graph is rational, then it is necessarily an integer.

## Main Results.

Bapat and Pati [2] characterized positive rational numbers which be the energy of a graph. They proved that energy of a graph whenever rational is necessarily an even integer. We prove a similar result for signed graphs.

**Theorem 3.** Energy of a signed graph whenever rational is necessarily an even integer.

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a signed graph of order  $n$  and let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  be its eigenvalues. Then the energy of  $S$  is given as

$$E(S) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|.$$

Since  $S$  is simple signed graph, therefore trace of adjacency matrix of  $S$  is zero. But trace of a square matrix is sum of its eigenvalues, therefore we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j = 0.$$

This gives that

$$\sum_+ \mu_j = \sum_- \mu_j,$$

where  $\sum_+ \mu_j$  and  $\sum_- \mu_j$  respectively denote sum of positive and sum of negative eigenvalues. Therefore the energy of  $S$  is given by  $E(S) = 2 \sum_+ \mu_j$

Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$  be positive eigenvalues of  $S$ . Then the energy of  $S$  is

$$E(S) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \quad (1)$$

By using Lemma 1, we see that  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i$  is an eigenvalue of the Cartesian product of  $m$  copies of  $S$  i.e.,  $S \times S \times \dots \times S$  ( $m$  times). Now,  $\mu$  being sum of algebraic integers is also an algebraic integer. Also, from (1) together with the fact that a rational algebraic integer is an integer, we see that energy of signed graph whenever rational is necessarily an even number.

**Theorem 4.** Energy of a signed graph cannot be  $r$ th root of a positive non-integral rational number.

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a signed graph of order  $n$ . Then by similar theory as in Theorem 3, we see that  $E(S) = 2\mu$ .

Assume on contrary that  $E(S) = (\frac{p}{q})^{\frac{1}{r}}$ , where  $\frac{p}{q}$  is a positive non-integral rational number in lowest form and  $r$  is a positive integer.

This implies  $2\mu = (\frac{p}{q})^{\frac{1}{r}}$ , which gives  $\mu^r = \frac{p}{2^r q}$ .

As  $\frac{p}{q}$  is positive non-integral rational number, therefore  $\frac{p}{2^r q}$  is also non-integral positive rational number and hence  $\mu^r$  is non-integral positive rational. But  $\mu^r$  is an eigenvalue of a signed graph obtained by conjunction of  $r$  copies of  $S$  i.e.,  $S * S * \dots * S$  ( $r$  times). Now  $\mu^r$  being an eigenvalue of a signed graph is an algebraic integer and hence cannot be non-integral rational, which gives a contradiction.

Pirzada and Gutman [12] proved that the energy of a signed graph cannot be the square root of an odd integer. A similar result holds for signed graphs.

**Theorem 5.** Energy of signed graph cannot be of the form  $(2^s q)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ , where  $q$  is odd,  $r \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq s \leq r - 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a signed graph of order  $n$  and let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$  be its positive eigenvalues. Then as in Theorem 3, the energy of  $S$  is given by  $E(S) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i$

Now, let  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i$ . Then  $E(S) = 2\mu$ .

Let  $H$  denote the signed graph obtained by taking Cartesian product of  $m$  copies of  $S$  and  $K$  denote the signed graph obtained by taking conjunction of  $r$  copies of  $H$  i.e.,  $H = S \times S \times \dots \times S$  ( $m$  times) and  $K = H * H * \dots * H$  ( $r$  times). By Lemma 1,  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $H$  and  $\mu^r$  is an eigenvalue of  $K$ .

If possible, let  $E(S) = (2^s q)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ . Then  $2\mu = (2^s q)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ , which gives  $\mu^r = \frac{2^s q}{2^r}$

As  $q$  is odd, it is clear that if  $0 \leq s \leq r - 1$ , then  $\mu^r$  is a non-integral positive rational eigenvalue of the signed graph  $K$ , which is a contradiction.

**Remark 6 .** In Theorem 5, if  $s = 0$  and  $r = 1$ , then we see that the energy of a signed graph cannot be square root of an odd integer.

## REFERENCES.

- [1]. B. D. Acharya, Spectral criterion for the cycle balance in networks. J. Graph Theory 4(1980)1-11.
- [2]. R. B. Bapat and S. Pati, Energy of a graph is never an odd integer, Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc., 1(2004) 129-132.
- [3]. M. A. Bhat and J. Rada, Lower bounds for the energy of weighted digraphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2018), to appear
- [4]. M. A. Bhat, Energy of weighted digraphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 223 (2017) 1-14.
- [5]. M. A. Bhat and S. Pirzada, Unicyclic signed graphs with minimal energy, Discrete Appl. Math 226 (2017) 32-39.
- [6]. M. A. Bhat and S. Pirzada, Spectra and energy of bipartite signed digraphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 64, 9 (2016) 1863-1877.
- [7]. M. A. Bhat and S. Pirzada, On equienergetic signed graphs, Discrete Appl. Math 189 (2015)1-7.
- [8]. Birkhoff and Mac Lane: A survey of Modern Algebra, Universities Press (India) private limited 2003.
- [9]. K. A. Germina and Shahul Hameed K, On signed paths, signed cycles and their energies. Appl. Math. Sci. 4(2010), no. 70, 3455-3466.
- [10]. K. A. Germina, Shahul Hameed K and Thomas Zaslavsky, On products and line graphs of signed graphs , their eigenvalues and energy, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 435, 10 (2010) 2432-2450.
- [11]. I. Gutman, The Energy of a graph, Ber. Math. Statist. Sect. Forschungszentrum Graz., 103(1978) 1-22.
- [12]. S. Pirzada and I. Gutman, Energy of a graph is never the square root of an odd integer, Appl. Anal. Disc. Math 2 (2008) 118-121.
- [13]. S. Pirzada and M. A. Bhat, Energy of signed digraphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 169 (2014) 195-205.
- [14]. S. Pirzada , An introduction to graph theory, Universities Press, Orient BlackSwan, Hyderabad, India, 2012.