Effect Of Propionic Acid On Critical Micelle Concentration Of Triton X 100 aqueous medium

ALKA TIWARI,* ARCHANA BAJPAI**

**Govt. D.B. Girls P.G.COllege,Raipur(C.G.)*, India.**

Govt. O.F.K. College Jabalpur(M.P.)**, India.

Abstract - It was observed that the CMC value of Triton X 100 decreases with the increasing Temperature for different concentration of propionic acid . The CMC value of Triton X 100 increases with the increasing concentration at lower temperature ,but at higher temperatures (at 315 K & at 320 k) the CMC value of Triton X 100 decreases with the increasing concentration of Propionic Acid . The result shows that at lower temperature , lower concentration of propionic acid is suitable for micellisation and at higher temperature , higher concentration of Propionic Acid is suitable for solubilisation.

Keywords – Propionic Acid, X 100, Micelle Concetration, CMC value, Temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

Surfactants (tensides) are organic substances, which signifícantly decrease the surface tension of water at relatively low concentrations, are at least partially water soluble. Because surfactants are adsorbed mainly on the surface of the solution, creating a thin monolayer, they are called surface active substances. When dissolving them, after they reach a certain value of concentration, molecules or ions of surfactants begin to associate and to organize themselves into more complex units, also called micelles. The characteristic concentration value, where the association process begins, is called the *critical micelle concentration* and it is labeled with symbol cc or abbreviation CMC. The CMC is one of the most useful physicochemical characteristics of many biologically active substances . From the chemical point of view, surfactants are mostly low-molecular compounds, so when dissolved, they form the true solutions in concentration ranges below the CMC. Micelles are aggregates of a larger number of simple molecules or ions of surfactants (e.g. several dozens), so the resulting size of such structures is in the colloidal range. For this reason the micelle solutions of surfactants are regarded as association colloids.The effect of Propionic acid on the self association processes of non-ionic surfactant and hence on the properties of the micelles formed has been investigated for several systems using a variety of techniques . The behavior of Triton X 100 micelles in the presence of Propionic acid has been extensively investigated . T riton X 100 is a type of nonionic surfactant, molecular formula $C_{34}H_{62}O_{11}$ molecular weight -646.86, CAS No:9002-93-1 exists as a liquid in normal physical state.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Principles of Determination of CMC

The determination of CMC is generally based on the localization of the position of a breaking point in the concentration dependencies of equivalent conductance of surfactant solutions. The conductivity of any solution is directly proportional to the concentration of its ions. The dependence of equivalent conductance on the square root of concentration is used to determine CMC of ionic surfactants. The graph is plotted between $\sqrt{C}.10^2$ and $\Lambda.\sqrt{C}$.

Where C is the concentration of the solution and Λ is the equivalent conductance.

The breaking point in the graph represents the CMC.

This concentration dependence of equivalent conductivity is thus described by Onsager equation.

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 - a.\sqrt{C}$$

Where, Λ_0 is the corresponding equivalent conductance at the infinite dilution and 'a' is a constant.

Values of equivalent conductance are calculated from the experimental values of specific conductance Λ.

Units: Equivalent conductance: ohm^{-1}

Concentration: mol.dm^{-3}

B.   Materials, Chemicals and Equipments

1)   Conductometer, conductivity electrode, thermometer, thermostat, stirrer, 25 ml analytical flask

2) Non ionic surfactant
   (Triton X 100)
   KCl solution

3) Redistilled water

C.   Procedure

1)   Preparation of stock solution of the surfactants with concentration c=2X10^{-3} m to 14X10^{-3}m in 25 ml analytical flask:
   The weighed amount of surfactant is dissolved in calculated amount of distilled water.

2)   From the stock solution, various other solutions are prepared of different concentrations:
   c1.V1=c2.V2
   c1=concentration of stock solution
   V1=volume of stock solution
   c2=concentration of solution to prepare
   V2 volume of solution to prepare

3)   Conductometer is calibrated :
   For calibrating the conductometer, 0.1N KCl solution is prepared and electrode is dipped in it then its conductance value is set in the conductometer.

4)   Solution is poured in conductivity vessel, stirrer is inserted and then the thermometer and conductivity electrode is immersed in it.

5)   Thermostat is set to 305K.

6)   The measurement:
   First the reading of conductance is taken for the stock solution followed by solutions of different concentrations. The values observed are noted. The value so obtained is the observed conductance. From this value equivalent conductance is calculated. And then the graph is plotted between $\sqrt{C}.10^2$ and $\Lambda.\sqrt{C}$. The break in the curve gives the CMC.

7)   Now the thermostat is set to 310K then 315K, 320K and the measurements are made.

8)   Similarly in presence of Propionic acid, the values are determined.

D.   Calculation of Equivalent Conductance

The value obtained with the help of conductivity meter is known as the observed conductance. Here the cell constant of conductivity meter was set 1. From this observed value, specific conductance is calculated.

Specific conductance = observed conductance x cell constant

Since the cell constant is 0.91 .

The product of the specific conductance and the volume gives the Equivalent conductance.

$$\Lambda = K .V$$

Λ is the equivalent conductance
K is the specific conductance
V is the volume

E.   Calculation of Thermodynamic Parameters

Change in free energy, change in enthalpy and change in entropy is calculated by using the formula given below-

$\Delta G^0 = RT \, Log \, CMC$

$\Delta H^0 = -RT^2 \, d/dt \, Log \, CMC$

$\Delta S^0 = \Delta H^0 - \Delta G^0/T$

III.   RESULT AND DISCUSSION

TABLE- 1 - VARIATION OF CMC VALUE OF TRITON X 100 WITH INCREASING MOLAR CONCENTRATION OF PROPIONIC ACID AT 305 K

S.No.	Molar Conc. Of Propionic Acid	CMC value at 305 K
1	2.0 X10^{-3}	3.5 X10^{-4}
2	4.0 X10^{-3}	4.0 X10^{-4}
3	6.0 X10^{-3}	4.5 X10^{-4}

The CMC value of Triton X 100 increases with the increasing concentration of Propionic Acid at 305 K .

TABLE- 2 - VARIATION OF CMC VALUE OF TRITON X 100 WITH INCREASING MOLAR CONCENTRATION OF PROPIONIC ACID AT 310 K

S.No.	Molar Conc. Of Propionic Acid	CMC value at 305 K
1	2.0 X10^{-3}	3.0 X10^{-4}
2	4.0 X10^{-3}	3.5 X10^{-4}
3	6.0 X10^{-3}	4.0 X10^{-4}

The CMC value of Triton X 100 increases with the increasing concentration of Propionic Acid at 310 K.

TABLE- 3 - VARIATION OF CMC VALUE OF TRITON X 100 WITH INCREASING MOLAR CONCENTRATION OF PROPIONIC ACID AT 315 K

S.No.	Molar Conc. Of Propionic Acid	CMC value
1	2.0 X10^{-3}	2.5 X10^{-4}
2	4.0 X10^{-3}	2.0 X10^{-4}
3	6.0 X10^{-3}	1.5 X10^{-4}

The CMC value of Triton X 100 decrease with the increasing concentration of Propionic Acid at 315 K.

TABLE- 4 - VARIATION OF CMC VALUE OF TRITON X 100 WITH INCREASING MOLAR CONCENTRATION OF PROPIONIC ACID AT 320 K

S.No.	Molar Conc. Of Propionic Acid	CMC value
1	2.0×10^{-3}	2.0×10^{-4}
2	4.0×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-4}
3	6.0×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{-4}

The CMC value of Triton X 100 decrease with the increasing concentration of Propionic Acid at 320 K.

TABLE- 5 - VARIATION OF CMC VALUE OF TRITON X 100 WITH INCREASING MOLAR CONCENTRATION OF PROPIONIC ACID AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

Molar concentration of Propionic Acid x 10^{-3}	CMC at 305 K	CMC at 310 K	CMC at 315 K	CMC at 320 K
2	3.5×10^{-4}	3.0×10^{-4}	2.5×10^{-4}	2.0×10^{-4}
4	4.0×10^{-4}	3.5×10^{-4}	2.0×10^{-4}	1.5×10^{-4}
6	4.5×10^{-4}	4.0×10^{-4}	1.5×10^{-4}	1.2×10^{-4}

It was observed that the CMC value of Triton X 100 decreases with the increasing Temperature for different concentration of propionic acid . The CMC value of Triton X 100 increases with the increasing concentration at lower temperature ,but at higher temperatures (at 315 K & at 320 k) the CMC value of Triton X 100 decreases with the increasing concentration of Propionic Acid .

The result shows that at lower temperature , lower concentration of propionic acid is suitable for micellisation and at higher temperature , higher concentration of Propionic Acid is suitable for solubilisation .

REFFERENCES

[1] Farn RJ: Chemistry and Technology of Surfactants, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford. (2006).

[2] Khan MS, Ali Z: Micellisation of dodecyl benzenesulfonic acid and its interaction with poly(ethylene oxide) polymer. Journal of the Chemical Society of Pakistan.31: 712-717.(2009).

[3] Al-Wardian A, Glenn KM, Palepu RM: Thermodynamic and interfacial properties of binary cationic mixed systems, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects.247: 115-123.(2004).

[4] Jiang N, Li P, Wang Y, Wang J, Yan H, Thomas RK:Aggregation behavior of hexadecyltrimethylammonium surfactants with various counterions in aqueous solution. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 286: 755-760.(2005).

[5] Mata J, Varade D, Bahadur P: Aggregation behavior of quaternary salt based cationic surfactants. Thermochimica Acta. 428: 147-155. (2005).

[6] Quina FH, Nassar PM, Bonilha JBS, Bales BL: Growth of sodium dodecyl sulfate micelles with detergent concentration. Journal of Physical Chemistry.99: 17028-17031. (1995).

[7] Nasiru T, Avila L, Levine M: Determination of critical micelle concentration using UV visible spectroscopy. Journal of High School Research. 2: 1-5. (2011).

[8] Domínguez A, Fernández A, González N, Iglesias E, Montenegro L: Determination of critical micelle concentration of some surfactants by three techniques. Journal of Chemical Education.74: 1227-1231.(1997).

[9] Carpena P, Aguiar J, Bernaola-Galván P, Carnero Ruiz C: Problems associated with the treatment of conductivity−concentration data in surfactant solutions: simulations and experiments. Langmuir. 18: 6054-6058. (2002).

[10] Manabe M, Kawamura H, Yamashita A, Tokunaga S: Effect of alkanols on intermicellar concentration and on ionization of micelles. Journal of Colloid Interface Science.115: 147-154. (1987).

[11] Sugihara G, Era Y, Funatsu M, Kunitake T, Lee S, Sasaki Y:Micelle formation of dodecylammonium surfactant with mixed counterions: perfluorocarboxylate and alkanesulfonate ions. Journal of Colloid Interface Science. 187: 435-442.(1997).

[12] Garcia-Mateos I, Mercedes Velazquez M, Rodriguez LJ:Critical micelle concentration determination in binary mixtures of ionic surfactants by deconvolution of conductivity/concentration curves. Langmuir. 6: 1078-1083.(1990).

[13] Pérez-Rodríguez M, Prieto G, Rega C, Varela LM, Sarmiento F, Mosquera V: A comparative study of the determination of the critical micelle concentration by conductivity and dielectric constant measurements. Langmuir. 14: 4422-4426. (1998).

[14] López Fontán JL, Costa J, Ruso JM, Prieto G, Sarmiento F: A nonparametric approach to calculate critical micelle concentrations: the local polynomial regression method. The European Physical Journal E: Soft Matter and Biological Physics. 13: 133-140. (2004).

[15] Jover A, Meijide F, Mosquera V, Tato JV: A step-by-step dilution-extraction method for laboratory experiments. Journal of Chemical Education. 60: 530-532. (1990).

[16] Zana R, Lévy H, Danino D, Talmon Y, Kwetkat K:Mixed micellization of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide and an anionic dimeric (gemini) surfactant in aqueous solution. Langmuir. 13: 402-408. (1997).

[17] De Lisi R, Inglese A, Milioto S, Pellerito A: Demixing of mixed micelles. Thermodynamics of sodium perfluorooctanoate−sodium dodecanoate mixtures in water. Langmuir.13: 192-202. (1997).

[18] Tu Z, Ding L, Frappart M, Jaffrin MY: Studies on treatment of sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate solution by high shear ultrafiltration system. Desalination. 240: 251-256. (2009).

[19] Benrraou M, Bales B, Zana R: Effect of the nature of the counterion on the interaction between cesium and tetraalkylammonium dodecylsulfates and poly(ethylene oxide) or poly(vinylpyrolidone). Journal of Colloid Interface Science. 267: 519-523. (2003).

[20] Owoyomi O, Ige J, Soriyan OO:Themodynamics of micellisation of n-alkyltriphenylphosphonium bromides: a conductometric study. Chemical Sciences Journal.2011: CSJ-25. (2011).

[21] Umlong IM, Ismail K:Micellization behaviour of sodium dodecyl sulfate in different electrolyte media. Colloids and Surfaces A. Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 299: 8-14. (2007).