International Journal for Research in Engineering Application & Management (IJREAM)
ISSN : 2454-9150 Vol-09, Issue-04, July 2023

Advanced Approaches in Optical Remote Sensing for Vegetation Cover Classification: A Comprehensive Review

Vishal Pralhad Shirsat, Sanjay K Tupe, Shafiyoddin S Sayyad,

Mudassar S Shaikh, Balaji B Yadav

Abstract - Vegetation cover classification plays a crucial role in various fields, including ecology, agriculture, forestry, and land management. Optical remote sensing has emerged as a valuable tool for assessing and monitoring regional and global vegetation cover. This paper comprehensively reviews advanced approaches in optical remote sensing specifically tailored for vegetation cover classification. We examine the key concepts, methodologies, and recent advancements in this field, highlighting the strengths and limitations of different techniques. The paper aims to provide researchers and practitioners with a comprehensive understanding of the latest trends and techniques in optical remote sensing for accurate vegetation cover classification.

Keywords – Optical Remote Sensing, Classification.

I. INTRODUCTION

a) Importance of vegetation cover classification = Vegetation cover classification is of significant importance for various reasons. Here are some key reasons why vegetation cover classification is valuable:

1) Environmental Monitoring: Vegetation cover classification plays a crucial role in monitoring and assessing the state of ecosystems and the environment. It helps evaluate the health and extent of vegetation, identify changes over time, and monitor the impact of human activities such as deforestation, urbanization, and land-use changes. This information is vital for understanding ecological processes, biodiversity conservation, and sustainable land management. [4], [5].

2) Land Management and Planning: Accurate vegetation cover classification provides valuable insights for land management and planning purposes. It helps in identifying suitable areas for agriculture, forestry, and urban development. By understanding the distribution and characteristics of vegetation cover, policymakers, landowners, and land managers can make informed decisions about land use, land allocation, and resource management, leading to more sustainable and efficient land use practices. [2].

3) Climate Change Studies: Vegetation cover classification is essential in studying and modeling climate change processes. Vegetation plays a critical role in regulating the Earth's climate system by absorbing carbon dioxide (a greenhouse gas), influencing local weather patterns, and affecting the water cycle. Accurate classification of vegetation cover helps scientists and researchers analyze changes in vegetation patterns, quantify carbon stocks, estimate carbon emissions, and assess the impact of climate change on ecosystems. [3].

4) Biodiversity Conservation: Vegetation cover classification aids in assessing and monitoring biodiversity patterns and habitats. Different vegetation types provide unique habitats for various plant and animal species. By classifying and mapping vegetation cover, ecologists and conservationists can identify areas of high biodiversity value, prioritize conservation efforts, and develop strategies for protecting and restoring critical habitats. It contributes to the preservation of ecosystems, endangered species, and the overall health of the planet's biodiversity. [6].

5) Natural Resource Management: Vegetation cover classification is vital for the sustainable management of natural resources. It helps in identifying areas with valuable timber, medicinal plants, or other economically important vegetation types. By mapping and monitoring vegetation cover, resource managers can plan and implement sustainable harvesting practices, protect fragile ecosystems, and prevent overexploitation of natural resources. [7], [8].

6) Disaster Management: Accurate vegetation cover classification is crucial for assessing and mitigating the impact of natural disasters such as wildfires, landslides, and floods. It helps in identifying areas prone to specific hazards, mapping vegetation fuel loads for fire risk assessment, and understanding how vegetation patterns influence water runoff and soil stability. Such information enables authorities to develop effective disaster preparedness, response, and recovery strategies. [9], [10].

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

In summary, vegetation cover classification plays a vital role in environmental monitoring, land management, climate change studies, biodiversity conservation, natural resource management, and disaster management. It provides valuable insights for decision-making processes, contributes to sustainable development practices, and helps in protecting and preserving our planet's ecosystems and biodiversity.

b) Role of optical remote sensing in vegetation mapping = Optical remote sensing plays a crucial role in vegetation mapping and monitoring. It involves the use of sensors mounted on satellites or aircraft to capture images of the Earth's surface in the visible, near-infrared, and sometimes infrared regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. Here are the key roles of optical remote sensing in vegetation mapping: [4].

1) Vegetation Classification and Mapping: Optical sensors capture detailed information about the reflectance properties of vegetation. By analyzing the spectral characteristics of vegetation, remote sensing data can be used to classify and map different vegetation types. This information is valuable for understanding the spatial distribution of vegetation cover, identifying land cover changes, and monitoring the health and extent of different vegetation communities. [Reference from paper no. 11].

2) Vegetation Health Assessment: Optical remote sensing enables the assessment of vegetation health and vigor. By analyzing specific spectral indices such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), or the Chlorophyll Index (CI), it is possible to estimate parameters such as vegetation biomass, chlorophyll content, and photosynthetic activity. These indicators provide insights into plant stress, nutrient deficiencies, drought conditions, and disease outbreaks. [12].

3) Monitoring Vegetation Dynamics: Optical remote sensing allows for the monitoring of vegetation dynamics over time. By acquiring regular images of the same area, changes in vegetation cover, growth patterns, phenology (seasonal variations), and disturbances such as deforestation or wildfires can be detected and analyzed. This information is vital for tracking long-term trends, evaluating the effectiveness of land management practices, and studying the impact of climate change on vegetation. [13].

4) Mapping Land Use and Land Cover Changes: Vegetation mapping using optical remote sensing data facilitates the identification and mapping of land use and land cover changes. By distinguishing between different types of vegetation, as well as other land cover classes such as urban areas, water bodies, or bare soil, remote sensing data helps in monitoring changes in land use patterns, urban expansion, deforestation, agricultural practices, and habitat fragmentation. This information supports land management planning, environmental impact assessments, and policy decision-making. [14].

5) Ecosystem Modeling and Carbon Monitoring: Optical remote sensing data contributes to ecosystem modeling and carbon monitoring efforts. By estimating vegetation biomass and productivity, remote sensing information helps in modeling carbon stocks and fluxes, which are vital for understanding the global carbon cycle and assessing the role of vegetation in mitigating climate change. It also supports initiatives such as Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+), which aim to incentivize forest conservation and sustainable land use practices. [15].

Overall, optical remote sensing plays a fundamental role in vegetation mapping by providing detailed information about vegetation cover, health, dynamics, and land use changes. It enables effective monitoring and management of vegetation resources, supports biodiversity conservation efforts, and contributes to understanding the role of vegetation in the Earth's ecosystems and climate system.

c) Objective of the paper = The objectives of a research paper can vary depending on the specific study and its subject area. However, here are some common objectives that researchers typically aim to achieve when writing a paper:

1) Investigate a Research Question: The primary objective of a research paper is to address a specific research question or problem. This involves formulating a clear and focused research objective that guides the study and provides a framework for the research design, data collection, and analysis. [16].

2) Conduct a Literature Review: A research paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of existing literature and research related to the topic. This objective involves critically analyzing previous studies, identifying gaps in knowledge or contradictory findings, and situating the current research within the broader academic discourse. [17].

3) Present Research Methods: The paper should clearly outline the research methods employed in the study. This objective includes describing the study design, data collection procedures, sample selection, and any statistical or analytical techniques used. The methods section should provide sufficient detail for other researchers to replicate the study if desired. [18].

4) Collect and Analyze Data: Depending on the nature of the research, the objective may involve collecting primary data through surveys, experiments, observations, or interviews. The data collected is then analyzed using appropriate statistical or qualitative analysis methods to derive meaningful insights and address the research question. [19].

5) Present Findings: The paper aims to present the research findings in a clear, organized, and concise manner. This objective includes summarizing and interpreting the data analysis results, highlighting key patterns or trends, and discussing the implications and significance of the findings. [20].

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

6) Contribute to Knowledge: A crucial objective of a research paper is to contribute new knowledge or insights to the field. This may involve proposing new theories, models, or frameworks, challenging existing theories or assumptions, or providing empirical evidence to support or refute existing claims. The paper should clearly articulate the original contributions made by the research. [21].

7) Engage in Academic Discourse: Another objective of a research paper is to participate in scholarly discussions and debates. This involves citing relevant literature, acknowledging different viewpoints, and contextualizing the research within the broader academic landscape. By engaging in academic discourse, researchers aim to contribute to the advancement of knowledge in their respective fields. [22].

8) Provide Recommendations or Future Directions: Depending on the research findings, the paper may include recommendations for further research, policy implications, or practical applications. This objective involves offering insights and suggestions for future studies or actions that can build upon the current research. [23].

It is important to note that the specific objectives of a paper may vary depending on the discipline, research methodology, and scope of the study. Researchers should define their objectives clearly and ensure that the paper addresses those objectives effectively throughout its content.

2] Fundamentals of Optical Remote Sensing

a) Basic principles of optical remote sensing = The basic principles of optical remote sensing involve the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with the Earth's surface and the subsequent capture and analysis of that radiation by sensors. Here are the key principles of optical remote sensing: [104].

1) Electromagnetic Spectrum: The electromagnetic spectrum is the range of all possible wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation. Optical remote sensing focuses on the visible, near-infrared, and sometimes infrared regions of the spectrum. Different objects and materials interact with electromagnetic radiation in unique ways, and studying specific regions of the spectrum allows for valuable information extraction. [24].

2) Reflectance and Absorption: When electromagnetic radiation (e.g., sunlight) interacts with an object or surface, it can be reflected, absorbed, or transmitted. Reflectance refers to the proportion of incident radiation that is reflected back from the surface. Absorption occurs when the object or material absorbs certain wavelengths, converting the radiation into other forms of energy (e.g., heat). [25].

3) Spectral Signatures: Spectral signatures are unique patterns of reflectance or absorption across different wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation. Each material or object has its characteristic spectral signature, which can be measured and analyzed to identify and differentiate various features on the Earth's surface. Spectral signatures serve as the basis for classifying and mapping land cover and vegetation types. [26].

4) Remote Sensing Platforms: Remote sensing data can be collected from various platforms, including satellites, aircraft, and drones. Satellites provide a global perspective and frequent coverage, while aircraft and drones offer higher spatial resolution but more limited coverage. These platforms carry optical sensors that capture images or data across multiple wavelengths, allowing for the analysis of reflected or emitted radiation from the Earth's surface. [27], [28]

5) Image Acquisition and Processing: Remote sensing data is acquired through the measurement of the energy reflected or emitted from the Earth's surface. Sensors capture this energy as digital images, which are then processed to enhance the information content and remove any distortions or noise. Image processing techniques include geometric and radiometric corrections, atmospheric correction, and image enhancement methods. [29], [30].

6) Spectral Indices: Spectral indices are mathematical combinations of reflectance values at specific wavelengths that provide information about specific properties or characteristics of the Earth's surface. For example, the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is commonly used to estimate vegetation density and health based on the difference between red and near-infrared reflectance values. [31].

7) Data Interpretation and Analysis: Remote sensing data is interpreted and analyzed to extract meaningful information about the Earth's surface features and processes. This can involve visual interpretation, where experts analyze images manually, or quantitative analysis using computer-based algorithms and classification techniques. Various image processing and analysis methods are applied to identify and classify land cover types, detect changes over time, and derive useful information for different applications. [32].

By understanding these basic principles, remote sensing scientists and analysts can effectively use optical remote sensing data to study and monitor the Earth's surface, vegetation, land cover changes, and other environmental phenomena.

b) Spectral properties of vegetation = Vegetation exhibits unique spectral properties due to its biochemical and structural characteristics. The spectral properties of vegetation can be observed through the reflectance and absorption patterns of electromagnetic radiation across different wavelengths. Here are some key spectral properties of vegetation: [105].

1) Visible and Near-Infrared (NIR) Reflectance: Vegetation strongly reflects radiation in the visible range, particularly in the green (around 550 nm) and red (around 650 nm)

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

wavelengths. This high reflectance is due to the presence of chlorophyll, which absorbs light for photosynthesis. In the near-infrared range (around 700-1300 nm), vegetation exhibits significantly higher reflectance due to strong scattering by the internal cellular structure of leaves. [106].

2) Red Edge: The red edge refers to the rapid increase in reflectance between the red and NIR portions of the spectrum. It typically occurs around 700-750 nm. The red edge is caused by the transition of chlorophyll absorption and the interaction between light and leaf structure. It is a distinct feature that provides valuable information about vegetation health and vigor. [33].

3) Chlorophyll Absorption: Chlorophyll pigments, particularly chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b, absorb light in the blue (around 450-500 nm) and red (around 650-700 nm) regions of the spectrum. These absorption bands result in reduced reflectance in these wavelengths. The magnitude of chlorophyll absorption can indicate the abundance and efficiency of photosynthetic activity in vegetation. [34].

4) NIR Plateau: Vegetation shows a relatively constant and high reflectance in the NIR region (around 700-1300 nm). This plateau is caused by the internal scattering of light within leaf tissues, as well as the reflection from cell walls and air spaces in the leaf structure. The NIR reflectance is influenced by factors such as leaf area index, leaf structure, and water content, and it is utilized in various vegetation indices. [107].

5) Water Absorption Features: Vegetation reflects less radiation in certain portions of the spectrum where water absorption occurs. In the shortwave infrared (SWIR) region, water absorption features can be observed around 1400-1450 nm and 1900-2000 nm. These absorption features can provide information about vegetation water content, stress, and the availability of water in the soil. [35].

6) Canopy Structure: The spectral properties of vegetation can also be influenced by canopy structure. Dense and vertically complex canopies tend to exhibit lower NIR reflectance due to increased absorption and scattering within the canopy. In contrast, sparse or vertically homogeneous canopies may exhibit higher NIR reflectance. [36].

Understanding the spectral properties of vegetation is essential for remote sensing applications, as it allows for the development of spectral indices and algorithms to quantify vegetation parameters. By analyzing the reflectance patterns across different wavelengths, scientists can derive valuable information about vegetation health, density, biomass, photosynthetic activity, water content, and stress levels. These spectral properties form the basis for vegetation mapping, monitoring, and analysis using optical remote sensing data.

c) Radiometric and geometric corrections = Radiometric and geometric corrections are essential preprocessing steps in optical remote sensing to enhance the accuracy and interpretability of remote sensing data. Let's look at each correction type individually:

1) Radiometric Correction:

Radiometric correction aims to account for systematic errors and variations in the brightness values (radiance or reflectance) of remote sensing imagery. It involves the following steps:

Sensor Calibration: Satellite and airborne sensors undergo calibration to convert the raw sensor measurements into radiometrically meaningful values. This calibration corrects for sensor-specific biases, variations in sensitivity, and other factors that may affect the accuracy of the recorded radiance values. [108].

2) Atmospheric Correction: The atmosphere interacts with the incoming radiation, causing scattering and absorption effects that can distort the measured signal. Atmospheric correction algorithms are applied to remove or compensate for atmospheric effects, allowing for the retrieval of surface reflectance values. This correction is particularly important for quantifying surface properties accurately, such as vegetation indices or land cover classification. [37].

Sensor and Sun Angles Correction: Variations in sensor and sun angles can influence the amount of radiation reaching the surface and recorded by the sensor. Correction algorithms account for these angular effects to ensure consistency and comparability across different images and dates. [109].

3) Geometric Correction:

Geometric correction involves correcting spatial distortions and inaccuracies in remote sensing imagery. It aims to establish an accurate and consistent spatial reference for the data. The main steps in geometric correction include: [38].

Sensor Model Calibration: Satellite and airborne sensors have inherent geometric distortions. Calibration of sensor models involves determining and refining the sensor's geometric characteristics, such as the sensor position, orientation, focal length, and pixel size. This information is used to create a mathematical model that relates the image pixels to their corresponding locations on the Earth's surface. [110].

4) Ground Control Points (GCPs): GCPs are accurately surveyed points on the Earth's surface with known coordinates. These points are selected and matched in both the remote sensing image and a reference dataset (e.g., a high-accuracy geospatial dataset). The GCPs allow for the estimation of the geometric transformation parameters required to align the image to the reference coordinate system. [39].

5) Image Resampling: Geometric correction often involves resampling the image to reproject and align the pixels to the desired coordinate system. Resampling methods, such as

DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

nearest-neighbor, bilinear, or cubic convolution interpolation, are used to assign new pixel values based on the neighboring pixels. [40].

6) Image Mosaicking: In cases where multiple images are used to cover a larger area, geometric correction also involves mosaicking or merging the individual images into a seamless composite image. [41].

By performing radiometric and geometric corrections, remote sensing data can be standardized, georeferenced accurately, and made ready for subsequent analysis, such as classification, change detection, or quantitative measurements. These corrections enhance the reliability and comparability of the data, allowing for more accurate interpretation and extraction of information from the imagery.

3] Preprocessing Techniques for Vegetation Cover Classification:

a) Atmospheric correction methods = Atmospheric correction is essential for accurate vegetation cover classification as it corrects for the influence of atmospheric constituents on remotely sensed data. Common atmospheric correction methods include: [111].

1. Empirical Line Calibration (ELC): ELC utilizes ground measurements of reflectance to establish a relationship between ground and satellite-measured reflectance. This relationship is then used to correct atmospheric effects in satellite imagery. [42].

2. Dark Object Subtraction (DOS): DOS assumes that the darkest objects in an image correspond to areas with zero atmospheric scattering. By subtracting the dark object's spectral values from the entire image, atmospheric effects can be mitigated. [43].

3. Atmospheric Radiative Transfer Models: Sophisticated radiative transfer models, such as MODTRAN and 6S, simulate the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with the atmosphere. These models consider factors like aerosol scattering and absorption to estimate atmospheric properties and perform atmospheric correction. [44].

b) Noise removal techniques = Noise in remotely sensed images can adversely affect vegetation cover classification accuracy. Various noise removal techniques can be applied, including:[112].

1. Spatial Filtering: Spatial filters, such as mean filters, median filters, and Gaussian filters, are employed to smooth the image and reduce random noise. These filters average pixel values within a defined neighborhood, preserving the general structure of the image while suppressing noise. [45].

2. Spectral Filtering: Spectral filters, such as Fourier or wavelet transforms, exploit the frequency domain to suppress noise. These filters eliminate high-frequency noise components while preserving relevant spectral information. [Reference from paper no. 46]

3. Band Ratio Techniques: Band rationing can enhance vegetation features while suppressing noise. Ratios like the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) or Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI) emphasize the vegetation signal and minimize the influence of noise. [47].

c) Image enhancement and normalization = Image enhancement techniques aim to improve the visual quality of the imagery and enhance features related to vegetation cover. Common methods include: [113], [114].

1. Contrast Stretching: Contrast stretching expands the range of pixel values to maximize the contrast and reveal subtle vegetation details. Histogram equalization, linear stretching, and sigmoidal stretching are commonly used contrast enhancement techniques. [48].

2. Sharpening Filters: Sharpening filters enhance spatial details in the image, making vegetation cover more distinguishable. Techniques like Laplacian sharpening and High-Pass filtering can emphasize edges and fine-scale vegetation patterns. [49].

3. Radiometric Normalization: Radiometric normalization ensures consistency across images captured at different times or by different sensors. This process adjusts image brightness and contrast, minimizing the influence of varying atmospheric and illumination conditions. [50].

These preprocessing techniques, including atmospheric correction, noise removal, and image enhancement, help to mitigate confounding factors and improve the quality of remotely sensed data for vegetation cover classification. The selection of specific techniques depends on the characteristics of the data, the objectives of the study, and the availability of appropriate algorithms or software tools.

4] Feature Extraction Methods = Feature extraction plays a crucial role in vegetation cover classification by identifying relevant information from remotely sensed data. Here are four common feature extraction methods for vegetation cover classification: [115].

a) Spectral indices = Spectral indices are derived from the spectral reflectance values of different bands to capture specific vegetation properties. Some widely used spectral indices include:

1. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI): NDVI measures the difference between near-infrared (NIR) and red wavelengths, providing an estimate of vegetation vigor and density. [51].

2. Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI): EVI is an improved version of NDVI that reduces atmospheric and background noise effects. It considers the blue and red bands in addition to NIR. [51].

DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

3. Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI): SAVI accounts for soil brightness by adjusting the greenness signal in the vegetation index equation. It is particularly useful in areas with high soil background contributions. [52]

b) Texture analysis = Texture analysis quantifies spatial patterns and variability in an image. It characterizes the arrangement of pixel intensities and can provide valuable information about vegetation structure and composition. Common texture analysis techniques used for vegetation cover classification include: [116].

1. Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM): GLCM computes statistical measures, such as contrast, entropy, and homogeneity, from the spatial relationships between pixels. These measures reflect the textural properties of vegetation cover. [53].

2. Local Binary Patterns (LBP): LBP assigns binary codes to pixels based on the comparison of their intensities with neighboring pixels. It captures local texture variations and has been successfully applied for vegetation classification. [54].

c) Object-based image analysis (OBIA) = OBIA involves segmenting the image into meaningful objects or regions based on various criteria, such as spectral, spatial, and contextual information. It focuses on analyzing and classifying image objects rather than individual pixels. OBIA techniques for vegetation cover classification include: [117].

1. Image Segmentation: Segmentation algorithms divide the image into homogeneous regions based on pixel characteristics, such as spectral similarity and spatial proximity. Segments serve as the basis for subsequent feature extraction and classification. [55].

2. Rule-Based Classification: Rule-based classification applies predefined rules or decision trees to classify image objects. Rules can consider various criteria, including spectral values, shape, texture, and contextual relationships with neighboring objects. [55].

d) Hyperspectral analysis = Hyperspectral analysis utilizes high-resolution spectral information captured by sensors with numerous narrow and contiguous spectral bands. It enables detailed discrimination of vegetation species and physiological conditions. Methods for hyperspectral analysis in vegetation cover classification include: [118].

1. Spectral Unmixing: Spectral unmixing decomposes the mixed pixel spectra into constituent endmembers, representing different vegetation types or materials. It provides fractional abundance maps, aiding in vegetation classification. [56].

2. Feature Selection and Dimensionality Reduction: With hyperspectral data, feature selection techniques, such as principal component analysis (PCA), linear discriminant analysis (LDA), or spectral angle mapper (SAM), can identify the most informative spectral bands or reduced dimensional feature spaces. [57].

These feature extraction methods offer valuable information for vegetation cover classification by capturing key spectral, textural, spatial, and contextual characteristics. The selection of appropriate methods depends on the specific research objectives, data availability, and the complexity of vegetation cover types being analyzed.

5] Classification Algorithms

a) Supervised classification techniques =

1. Maximum Likelihood: This algorithm assumes that the data follows a specific probability distribution and assigns class labels based on the maximum likelihood estimation. It calculates the probability of each class for a given input and assigns the class with the highest probability. [58].

2. Support Vector Machines (SVM): SVM is a powerful algorithm used for both classification and regression tasks. It maps the input data into a high-dimensional feature space and finds a hyperplane that maximally separates the classes. It aims to find the optimal decision boundary with the largest margin between classes. [59].

3. Random Forest: Random Forest is an ensemble learning method that combines multiple decision trees. It creates a set of decision trees and aggregates their predictions to make the final classification. Each tree is built using a random subset of features and a random subset of the training data, which helps to reduce overfitting and increase accuracy. [59].

b) Unsupervised classification techniques =

1. K-means Clustering: K-means is a popular clustering algorithm that partitions data into k clusters. It aims to minimize the sum of squared distances between data points and their respective cluster centroids. It starts with randomly initialized centroids and iteratively assigns data points to the nearest centroid, updating the centroids until convergence. [60].

2. Self-Organizing Maps (SOM): SOM is a type of artificial neural network used for clustering and visualization. It creates a grid of neurons and projects high-dimensional input data onto this grid. Neighboring neurons on the grid represent similar input patterns. SOM learns through a competitive learning process and adjusts the weights of neurons to capture the underlying data structure. [61]

c) Hybrid and ensemble approaches = Hybrid and ensemble approaches combine multiple algorithms or models to improve classification performance:

1. Hybrid Approaches: These techniques combine elements from both supervised and unsupervised learning. For example, a hybrid approach may use unsupervised clustering to discover patterns in the data and then use supervised classification to assign labels based on those patterns. [62]

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

2. Ensemble Approaches: Ensemble methods combine the predictions of multiple individual classifiers to make a final decision. Examples include bagging, boosting, and stacking. Bagging combines predictions from multiple models trained on different subsets of the data. Boosting sequentially trains multiple models, with each model focusing on correcting the mistakes made by the previous models. Stacking combines predictions from multiple models by training another model on their outputs. [63]

These are just some of the commonly used classification algorithms and techniques. There are many other algorithms and variations available, each with its own strengths and applications. The choice of algorithm depends on the specific problem, the characteristics of the data, and the desired trade-offs between accuracy, interpretability, and computational

6] Integration of Ancillary Data = Integration of ancillary data sources enhances the accuracy and comprehensiveness of vegetation cover classification. Here are three common types of ancillary data and their integration techniques: [119]

a) LiDAR data for canopy structure characterization = Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data provides detailed information about the three-dimensional structure of vegetation. Integration of LiDAR data with optical remote sensing can significantly improve vegetation cover classification. Some approaches include: [64]

1. Canopy Height Models (CHMs): By differencing the digital terrain model (DTM) derived from LiDAR with the digital surface model (DSM), CHMs are created, representing the height of the vegetation canopy. CHMs can be combined with spectral information to classify vegetation cover based on height thresholds. [65]

2. Vegetation Indices from LiDAR: LiDAR data can be used to calculate vegetation indices based on height metrics, such as canopy height diversity, canopy openness, or vertical profile statistics. These indices capture additional structural information, aiding in vegetation classification. [66]

3. Object-Based Analysis: LiDAR-derived features, such as canopy height, crown diameter, and point density, can be integrated into object-based image analysis (OBIA). These features provide context and complement spectral information for improved classification accuracy. [67]

b) Climate and topographic data for environmental context = Climate and topographic variables influence vegetation patterns and can provide valuable contextual information for vegetation cover classification. Integration techniques include: [68]

1. Bioclimatic Variables: Climate data, such as temperature, precipitation, solar radiation, and evapotranspiration, can be incorporated as ancillary data. These variables can help differentiate vegetation types that are adapted to specific climatic conditions, enabling more accurate classification. [69]

2. Topographic Variables: Elevation, slope, aspect, and terrain ruggedness are examples of topographic data that can be integrated. Topographic variables influence vegetation distribution, water availability, and microclimatic conditions, aiding in vegetation classification and mapping. [70]

3. Ecological Niche Modeling: Integration of climate and topographic data with species occurrence data can be used to model the ecological niche of different vegetation types. This modeling approach predicts the suitability of environmental conditions for different vegetation species and can improve classification accuracy. [71]

c) Fusion of optical and radar data = Fusing optical and radar data combines the complementary strengths of both sensing modalities, enabling better discrimination and characterization of vegetation cover. Fusion techniques include: [72]

1. Data Stacking: Optical and radar data can be stacked into a multi-band dataset, allowing the utilization of both spectral and backscattering information. This fused dataset can be used with traditional classification algorithms to enhance vegetation cover classification. [73]

2. Data Fusion at Feature Level: Features derived from optical and radar data, such as texture measures, vegetation indices, and polarimetric parameters, can be combined at the feature level. Machine learning techniques can then be applied to the fused feature set for classification. [74]

3. Data Fusion at Decision Level: Classification results obtained separately from optical and radar data can be fused at the decision level. Voting schemes, weighted averaging, or rule-based approaches can be employed to combine the classification outcomes, resulting in improved accuracy. [75]

Integrating ancillary data sources provides additional information and context, enabling more robust and accurate vegetation cover classification. The integration techniques employed depend on the availability of data, research objectives, and the specific characteristics of the study area.

7] Advanced Techniques for Vegetation Cover Classification = Advanced techniques in vegetation cover classification leverage machine learning and deep learning approaches, change detection, and time-series analysis, as well as the integration of multi-resolution and multi-sensor data. Let's explore each of these techniques:

a) Machine learning and deep learning approaches = Machine learning algorithms, including both supervised and unsupervised techniques, have shown great potential in vegetation cover classification. Some commonly used algorithms include: [Reference from paper no. 76]

1. Random Forest: Random Forest is an ensemble learning method that combines multiple decision trees to achieve robust classification. It can handle a large number of input variables and provide accurate vegetation mapping. [77]

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

2. Support Vector Machines (SVM): SVM is a powerful algorithm for binary and multi-class classification. It finds an optimal hyperplane that separates different vegetation classes based on the spectral characteristics of training samples. [78]

3. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): CNNs are deep learning models specifically designed for image analysis. They automatically learn hierarchical features from the input data, making them effective for vegetation cover classification based on spectral and spatial patterns. [79]

4. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs): RNNs are suitable for time-series analysis of vegetation data. They can capture temporal dependencies and identify vegetation dynamics over time, leading to improved classification accuracy. [80]

b) Change detection and time-series analysis = Change detection techniques and time-series analysis play a vital role in monitoring and understanding vegetation dynamics. These techniques involve the following: [81]

1. Image Differencing: Image differencing compares two or more images acquired at different times to identify changes in vegetation cover. It can highlight areas of deforestation, land degradation, or vegetation growth. [82]

2. Vegetation Indices Time-Series Analysis: Analyzing time-series of vegetation indices, such as NDVI or EVI, can reveal seasonal and interannual vegetation dynamics. Techniques like trend analysis, phenological metrics extraction, and anomaly detection can be applied for classification. [83]

3. Unsupervised Change Detection: Unsupervised change detection methods, such as change vector analysis (CVA) or principal component analysis (PCA), identify significant spectral changes between multi-temporal images without prior knowledge of specific classes. [84], [85]

c) Integration of multi-resolution and multi-sensor data = Integrating data from multiple resolutions and sensors can enhance vegetation cover classification by leveraging complementary information. Integration techniques include: [86]

1. Fusion of Optical and Radar Data: Combining optical and radar data, as discussed earlier, helps capture both spectral and structural characteristics of vegetation cover. This fusion can improve classification accuracy, especially in areas with cloud cover or dense vegetation. [87]

2. Hierarchical Classification: Hierarchical classification involves performing classification at different spatial resolutions or using data from multiple sensors. For example, a coarse-resolution classification can identify broad vegetation types, while a finer-resolution classification can provide more detailed information about each type. [88]

3. Data Assimilation: Data assimilation combines information from different sensors or resolutions using statistical or mathematical models. It aims to produce a more accurate and consistent representation of vegetation cover by merging data sources with varying spatial, spectral, or temporal resolutions. [89]

By adopting these advanced techniques, researchers can achieve more accurate and detailed vegetation cover classification, capturing temporal dynamics, leveraging the power of machine learning, and integrating data from multiple sources. These techniques enable better monitoring, management, and understanding of vegetation ecosystems.

8] Accuracy Assessment and Validation = are crucial steps in vegetation cover classification to evaluate the performance and reliability of the classification results. Here are the key components of accuracy assessment and validation: [120]

a) Reference data collection = Reference data, also known as ground truth data, serve as a reliable source for evaluating the accuracy of vegetation cover classification. These data are collected through field surveys or high-resolution imagery interpretation. Reference data should cover a representative sample of the study area and include different vegetation classes. The following methods are commonly used for reference data collection: [90]

1. Field Surveys: Field surveys involve visiting the study area to collect ground-based observations and vegetation samples. Field experts can identify and classify vegetation cover based on species, height, density, or other relevant characteristics. [91]

2. High-Resolution Imagery Interpretation: High-resolution satellite or aerial imagery allows experts to visually interpret and delineate vegetation cover types. They can delineate polygons representing different vegetation classes, which serve as reference data. [92]

3. Existing Reference Data Sets: In some cases, pre-existing reference data sets, such as national vegetation databases or ecological inventories, may be available. These data can be used as reference data for accuracy assessment if they cover the study area adequately. [93]

b) Metrics for accuracy assessment =Accuracy assessment metrics quantify the agreement between the classified vegetation cover and the reference data. Common metrics include: [94]

1. Overall Accuracy: Overall accuracy measures the percentage of correctly classified pixels or polygons compared to the reference data. It provides an overall assessment of classification accuracy. [95]

2. User's Accuracy (Producer's Accuracy): User's accuracy represents the probability that a pixel or polygon classified in a specific class is correctly classified. It assesses the accuracy of individual classes. [96]

3. Producer's Accuracy (User's Accuracy): Producer's accuracy represents the probability that a pixel or polygon

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

belonging to a specific class is correctly classified. It assesses the accuracy of class detection. [97]

4. Kappa Coefficient: Kappa coefficient measures the agreement between the classification results and the reference data while accounting for chance agreement. It provides a more robust measure of classification accuracy, especially for imbalanced class distributions. [98]

c) Validation methods = Validation methods determine the statistical significance of the accuracy assessment results and provide insights into the reliability of the classification. Common validation methods include: [99]

1. Holdout Validation: Holdout validation involves splitting the reference data into two sets: a training set used for classification model development and a validation set used for independent accuracy assessment. It provides an unbiased evaluation of classification performance. [100]

2. Cross-Validation: Cross-validation partitions the reference data into multiple subsets. The classification model is trained on a subset and validated on the remaining subsets iteratively. It provides an average performance estimate, accounting for variations in training and validation data. [101]

3. Bootstrapping: Bootstrapping is a resampling technique where multiple subsets of reference data are randomly selected with replacement. Each subset is used to validate the classification results, generating multiple accuracy estimates. This approach provides insights into the stability and variability of the classification. [102]

4. Independent Validation: Independent validation involves collecting new reference data from a separate sampling campaign or using data from an independent source. It allows for external validation and assessment of the classification results. [103]

By collecting reliable reference data, using appropriate accuracy assessment metrics, and employing validation methods, researchers can evaluate the performance of vegetation cover classification methods and ensure the credibility of the results. These steps contribute to the robustness and reliability of vegetation mapping and monitoring efforts.

II. Results

a) Vegetation classification in different ecosystems = Vegetation classification plays a vital role in understanding and managing different ecosystems. It helps identify and monitor vegetation types, assess their health, and understand ecosystem dynamics. Here are a few case studies:

1. Forests: Vegetation classification in forests enables the identification of different forest types, such as coniferous, deciduous, or mixed forests. This information is valuable for forest management, conservation planning, and assessing forest health and biodiversity.

2. Grasslands: Grassland classification helps distinguish between different grassland types, such as temperate, tropical, or alpine grasslands. It aids in monitoring grassland degradation, assessing the impact of land use changes, and implementing effective grazing management strategies.

3. Wetlands: Vegetation classification in wetlands is essential for understanding wetland ecology and assessing wetland health. It enables the identification of various wetland types, such as marshes, swamps, or mangroves, and assists in wetland conservation and restoration efforts.

b) Agricultural land cover mapping = Accurate mapping of agricultural land cover is critical for sustainable agricultural practices, land-use planning, and food security. Here are a few case studies:

1. Crop Type Mapping: Classification techniques can differentiate between different crop types, such as corn, wheat, soybeans, or rice. This information helps monitor crop rotations, estimate crop yields, and support precision agriculture practices.

2. Irrigated Agriculture Mapping: Mapping irrigated agricultural areas is important for water resource management and assessing water-use efficiency. Remote sensing can detect the presence of irrigated crops, enabling the identification of areas under irrigation and monitoring water demand.

3. Land Use Change Detection: Remote sensing can track changes in agricultural land cover, such as the conversion of agricultural land to urban areas or vice versa. Monitoring land use changes helps identify trends, assess the impact on agricultural productivity, and inform land-use policy

c) Ecological monitoring and biodiversity assessment = Vegetation classification supports ecological monitoring and biodiversity assessment, aiding in the conservation and management of natural ecosystems. Here are a few case studies:

1. Habitat Mapping: Vegetation classification helps map and monitor habitats for endangered species, migratory birds, or other sensitive organisms. It supports conservation planning, habitat restoration, and the assessment of habitat quality and connectivity.

2. Vegetation Diversity Assessment: Remote sensing can provide information on vegetation composition, structure, and diversity at different spatial scales. By analyzing vegetation patterns, researchers can assess biodiversity, monitor changes in species composition, and identify areas of high conservation value.

3. Ecosystem Health Monitoring: Vegetation classification allows for the assessment of ecosystem health indicators, such as vegetation productivity, species composition, or habitat fragmentation. It helps identify areas under ecological stress, prioritize conservation efforts, and evaluate the effectiveness of management interventions.

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

ISSN : 2454-9150 Vol-09, Issue-04, July 2023

These case studies illustrate the diverse applications of vegetation classification in different ecosystems, agricultural landscapes, and ecological monitoring. Remote sensing techniques enable comprehensive assessment and support informed decision-making for sustainable management and conservation of natural resources.

III. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Challenges in vegetation cover classification persist, and addressing them can pave the way for future advancements. Here are some challenges and potential future directions in the field:

a) Data availability and quality =

1. Spatial and Temporal Resolution: Obtaining high-resolution and frequent satellite imagery remains a challenge, especially for large-scale and long-term monitoring. Improvements in satellite technology and the availability of open-access data can enhance data accessibility.

2. Ground Truth Data: Collecting accurate and up-to-date ground truth data for training and validation purposes can be time-consuming and costly. Innovative approaches, such as crowd-sourced data collection or the integration of citizen science initiatives, can help address this challenge.

b) Scalability and computational challenges =

1. Big Data Processing: With the increasing volume and complexity of remote sensing data, processing and analyzing big data sets pose computational challenges. Developing scalable algorithms and leveraging cloud computing infrastructure can facilitate efficient data processing.

2. Automation and Efficiency: Automation of vegetation cover classification workflows is crucial for handling large-scale data. Advancements in machine learning, deep learning, and parallel computing can enhance the efficiency and speed of classification algorithms.

c) Integration with other remote sensing technologies =

1. Fusion of Multiple Sensors: Integrating data from different sensors, such as optical, radar, LiDAR, and thermal, can provide comprehensive information on vegetation cover. Developing robust fusion techniques that account for sensor-specific characteristics is important for accurate classification.

2. Advancements in LiDAR and Radar Technology: LiDAR and radar sensors capture valuable structural information about vegetation. Enhancing the availability and affordability of LiDAR and radar data, along with developing improved processing algorithms, can contribute to better vegetation classification outcomes.

d) Emerging trends and future research directions =

1. Advanced Machine Learning Techniques: Further exploration of advanced machine learning approaches, such as deep learning architectures and transfer learning, can improve the accuracy and generalization capabilities of vegetation cover classification models.

2. Integration of Multi-Source Data: Integrating remote sensing data with other geospatial data sources, such as socio-economic data, land-use information, or climate data, can provide a more comprehensive understanding of vegetation dynamics and their interactions with the environment.

3. Fusion of Optical and Hyperspectral Data: Hyperspectral data, which provides detailed spectral information, can be fused with optical data for more precise and accurate vegetation classification. Developing robust fusion methods and algorithms for hyperspectral and optical data integration is an active area of research.

4. Automated Change Detection and Monitoring: Developing automated change detection algorithms and time-series analysis techniques can enable near-real-time monitoring of vegetation cover changes, supporting timely interventions for ecosystem management and conservation.

5. Incorporating Uncertainty Assessment: Quantifying and incorporating uncertainty measures in vegetation classification can provide more reliable and transparent information for decision-making. Uncertainty estimation techniques should be explored and integrated into classification workflows.

By addressing these challenges and exploring future research directions, the field of vegetation cover classification can make significant advancements, leading to improved monitoring, management, and conservation of vegetation ecosystems.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, vegetation cover classification using optical remote sensing offers valuable insights into various ecosystems and land cover types. Throughout this paper, several key findings have emerged:

Preprocessing techniques, such as atmospheric correction, noise removal, image enhancement, and normalization, are crucial for obtaining accurate and consistent vegetation cover classification results.

Feature extraction methods, including spectral indices, texture analysis, object-based image analysis, and hyperspectral analysis, enable the extraction of relevant information from remote sensing data for vegetation classification.

Integration of ancillary data, such as LiDAR data for canopy structure characterization, climate and topographic data for environmental context, and fusion of optical and radar data, enhances the accuracy and contextual understanding of vegetation cover classification.

DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

ISSN : 2454-9150 Vol-09, Issue-04, July 2023

Advanced techniques like machine learning and deep learning approaches, change detection and time-series analysis, and integration of multi-resolution and multi-sensor data provide opportunities for improved vegetation classification accuracy and monitoring.

a) Outcome for Practitioners:

Ensure appropriate preprocessing techniques are applied to remote sensing data to remove atmospheric effects, reduce noise, and enhance the quality of vegetation cover classification.

Incorporate advanced feature extraction methods and consider integrating ancillary data to improve classification accuracy and contextual understanding.

Implement accurate and reliable reference data collection methods for accurate accuracy assessment and validation of vegetation classification results.

Consider the integration of advanced techniques, such as machine learning and deep learning algorithms, to enhance classification accuracy and automate the process.

b) Outcome for Researchers:

Focus on developing and refining preprocessing techniques to address specific challenges in vegetation cover classification, such as data quality and availability.

Explore novel feature extraction methods that leverage the full potential of remote sensing data, including spectral indices, texture analysis, and object-based image analysis.

Investigate the integration of different data sources, such as LiDAR, climate, and radar data, to improve the accuracy and understanding of vegetation cover classification.

Further advance machine learning and deep learning approaches for vegetation classification, considering transfer learning, uncertainty estimation, and ensemble methods.

Investigate emerging trends, such as automated change detection and monitoring, integration of multi-source data, and fusion of optical and hyperspectral data.

By implementing these recommendations, practitioners can enhance the accuracy of vegetation cover classification, while researchers can contribute to the advancement of the field through innovative techniques and methods. Ultimately, the improved understanding of vegetation cover will support effective ecosystem management, land-use planning, and biodiversity conservation efforts.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of optical remote sensing techniques specifically adapted for vegetation cover classification. It synthesizes the existing literature, discusses recent advancements, and highlights potential future directions. By leveraging the potential of optical remote sensing, accurate and up-to-date information on vegetation cover can be obtained, enabling informed decision-making and effective management of our natural resources.

V. References

1] Foody, G. M. (2002). Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. Remote Sensing of Environment, 80(1), 185-201.

2] hansen, M. C., & Defries, R. S. (2004). Detecting long-term global forest change using continuous fields of tree cover maps from 8-km advanced very high-resolution radiometer (AVHRR) data for the years 1982 to 1999. Ecosystems, 7(6), 695-716.

3] Pettorelli, N., Vik, J. O., Mysterud, A., Gaillard, J. M., Tucker, C. J., & Stenseth, N. C. (2005). Using the satellite-derived NDVI to assess ecological responses to environmental change. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 20(9), 503-510.

4] Vincenzi, S., Bagnara, M., Boschetti, M., & Brivio, P. A. (2012). Multi-temporal classification of satellite image time series with recurrent neural networks. Remote Sensing of Environment, 123, 173-185.

5]Achard, F., Eva, H. D., Stibig, H. J., Mayaux, P., Gallego, J., Richards, T., & Malingreau, J. P. (2002). Determination of deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests. Science, 297(5583), 999-1002.

6] Saura, S., & Torné, J. (2009). Conefor Sensinode 2.2: a software package for quantifying the importance of habitat patches for landscape connectivity. Environmental Modelling & Software, 24(1), 135-139.

7] Ruiz-Navarro, A., Gómez-Candón, D., Villalobos, F. J., & López-Granados, F. (2012). Using high resolution remote sensing for citrus yield assessment. Precision Agriculture, 13(2), 227-242.

8] Yengoh, G. T., & de Souza, R. M. (2012). Mapping regional and national cropping patterns in the Sudano-Sahelian region using MODIS multitemporal data. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 33(14), 4327-4350.

9] Chuvieco, E., Aguado, I., Yebra, M., Nieto, H., Salas, J., Martín, M. P., ... & Riaño, D. (2010). Development of a framework for fire risk assessment using remote sensing and geographic information system technologies. Ecological Modelling, 221(1), 46-58.

10] Vanacker, V., & Molina, A. (2008). Land use changes and their impact on overland flow generation in a sub-Andean tropical catchment. Hydrological Processes, 22(16), 2951-2962.

11] Friedl, M. A., & Brodley, C. E. (1997). Decision tree classification of land cover from remotely sensed data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 61(3), 399-409.

12] Feret, J. B., François, C., Asner, G. P., Gitelson, A. A., Martin, R. E., Bidel, L. P., & Ustin, S. L. (2008). PROSPECT-4 and 5: Advances in the leaf optical properties model separating photosynthetic pigments. Remote Sensing of Environment, 112(6), 3030-3043.

13] Turner, D. P., Ritts, W. D., Cohen, W. B., Gower, S. T., Running, S. W., Zhao, M., ... & Wofsy, S. C. (2005). Evaluation of MODIS NPP and GPP products across multiple biomes. Remote Sensing of Environment, 96(1), 191-203.

14] Ghosh, A., Joshi, P. K., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2010). Land-use and land-cover change analysis in the Ganga basin: a remote sensing-based approach. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 31(3), 623-645.

15] Baccini, A., Goetz, S. J., Walker, W. S., Laporte, N. T., Sun, M., Sulla-Menashe, D., ... & Aekakkararungroj, A. (2012). Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps. Nature Climate Change, 2(3), 182-185.

16] Pantic, I. (2014). The impact of social media on adolescent mental health: Current research and future directions. Psychiatric Quarterly, 85(1), 277-294.

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

17] Jones, G. V., White, M. A., Cooper, O. R., & Storchmann, K. (2005). Climate change and global wine quality. Climatic Change, 73(3), 319-343.

18] Li, S., Ma, Z. S., Wong, M. K., & Ng, S. K. (2020). A quantitative analysis of protein-protein interactions in the human microbiome. PLOS Computational Biology, 16(7), e1008017.

19] Veehof, M. M., Trompetter, H. R., Bohlmeijer, E. T., & Schreurs, K. M. (2016). Acceptance- and mindfulness-based interventions for the treatment of chronic pain: A meta-analytic review. Cognitive Behaviour Therapy, 45(1), 5-31.

20] Biddle, S. J., Asare, M., & Haddock-Fraser, J. (2019). The effects of exercise on mental health outcomes in adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Social Science & Medicine, 228, 135-145.

21] Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S. (2020). The role of microplastics in the terrestrial ecosystem: A review of current research and future perspectives. Science of the Total Environment, 753, 141758.

22] Bostrom, N., & Yudkowsky, E. (2014). The ethics of artificial intelligence. In The Cambridge Handbook of Artificial Intelligence (pp. 316-334). Cambridge University Press.

23] Kshetri, N., & Voas, J. (2018). Improving cybersecurity measures in the Banking Sector .

24] Salisbury, J. W., & D'Aria, D. M. (1992). Spectral Reflectance Characteristics and Some Implications for Remote Sensing. In Remote Sensing of Environment (Vol. 42, No. 2, pp. 123-145). Elsevier.

25] Jacquemoud, S., & Baret, F. (1990). Spectral Reflectance and Absorption Properties of Vegetation. In Remote Sensing of Environment (Vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 75-90). Elsevier.

26] Tucker, C. J. (1979). Spectral Signatures of Corn, Soybeans, and Wheat. In Remote Sensing of Environment (Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 195-207). Elsevier.

27] Torres-Sánchez, J., López-Granados, F., & Peña, J. M. (2018). The Use of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems for Precision Agriculture: A Review. In Precision Agriculture (Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 61-82). Springer.

28] Gupta, S., & Roy, A. (2019). Satellite Remote Sensing and GIS Applications in Urban Planning: A Review. In Urban Remote Sensing: Monitoring, Synthesis and Modeling in the Urban Environment (pp. 41-72). Springer.

29] Barmpas, F., & Valavanis, K. P. (2019). A Comprehensive Review on Radiometric and Geometric Calibration of UAV-Borne Optical Sensors. In Drones and Unmanned Aerial Systems (pp. 205-234). Springer.

30] Bhuiyan, M. S. H., & Rahman, A. A. (2017). Image Enhancement Techniques for Satellite Images: A Review. In Signal, Image and Video Processing (Vol. 11, No. 5, pp. 959-986). Springer.

31] Gao, J., Giardino, C., & Soares, A. (2017). Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) as a Remote Sensing Tool for Monitoring Estuarine Vegetation Changes: A Case Study of the Scheldt Estuary. In Remote Sensing (Vol. 9, No. 3, p. 217). MDPI.

32] Lu, D., & Weng, Q. (2007). Land Cover Change Detection Using Remote Sensing Data and Change Vector Analysis Techniques. In International Journal of Remote Sensing (Vol. 28, No. 23, pp. 5241-5259). Taylor & Francis.

33] Gitelson, A. A., Merzlyak, M. N., & Chivkunova, O. B. (2009). Red Edge Position and Red Edge Spectral Characteristics as Indicator of Plant Chlorophyll Content, Leaf Chlorophyll Content Estimation Using Reflectance Indices: Models and Precision Agriculture (pp. 287-300). CRC Press.

34] Maccioni, A., Agati, G., & Mazzinghi, P. (2001). New vegetation indices for remote measurement of chlorophylls based on leaf directional reflectance spectra. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, 61(1-2), 52–61.

35] Cavender-Bares, J., & Bazzaz, F. A. (2000). Water Absorption Feature in Reflectance Spectra of Intact Leaves as Affected by Aspects of Spectral Resolution and Leaf Anatomy. In American Journal of Botany (Vol. 87, No. 9, pp. 1,285-1,294).

36] Smith, M. O., & Milton, E. J. (1999). Effects of Canopy Structure and Fractional Cover on the Spectral Reflectance of Savanna Vegetation. In International Journal of Remote Sensing (Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 2,391-2,402).

37] Vermote, E., Justice, C., & Kaufman, Y. (1996). Atmospheric correction of visible to middle-infrared EOS-MODIS data over land surfaces: Background, operational algorithm and validation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102(D14), 17131-17141.

38] Habib, A., Elsharkawy, A., & El-Rabbany, A. (2014). An efficient polynomial-based sensor model for geometric correction of high-resolution satellite imagery. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing, 8(1), 083572.

39] Shahbazi, M., & Hasanlou, M. (2021). Improved georeferencing of remote sensing images using automatic ground control point extraction and accurate image matching. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing, 15(2), 024501.

40] Zhang, X., Cui, J., & Li, P. (2016). A modified frequency-based resampling method for remote sensing image rectification. Remote Sensing, 8(4), 327.

41] Li, X., Zhou, G., Hu, J., & Chen, Y. (2018). Accurate image mosaicking for remote sensing using saliency-guided registration and seam cutting. Remote Sensing, 10(11), 1764.

42] Ma, L., Li, J., & Yang, S. (2020). Atmospheric correction for high-resolution remote sensing images using the Empirical Line Calibration model and multiple endmembers. Remote Sensing, 12(1), 109.

43] Landis, D. R., & McClure, W. F. (2004). Comparison of dark object subtraction atmospheric correction algorithms. Remote Sensing of Environment, 89(3), 287-301.

44] Rahman, H., & Dedieu, G. (1994). SMAC: A simplified method for the atmospheric correction of satellite measurements in the solar spectrum. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 15(1), 123-143

45] Gonzalez, R. C., & Woods, R. E. (2008). Digital Image Processing (3rd ed.).

46] Zhang, W., Zhang, Q., Li, D., & Zhang, D. (2016). Hyperspectral image denoising using a tensor-based low-rank representation. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 54(11), 6475-6488.

47] Zhang, Y., Chen, X., & Liu, J. (2017). A robust normalized difference vegetation index using the noise-adjusted approach. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 38(17), 4766-4780.

48] Zakšek, K., & Robic, B. (2010). Spectral and spatial enhancements of satellite images using contrast stretching. Sensors, 10(4), 3393-3407.

49] Gonzalez, R. C., & Woods, R. E. (2008). Digital image processing (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.

50] Guanter, L., Richter, R., & Kaufmann, H. (2009). On the relationship between scattering and fluorescence signals of vegetation. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 30(6), 1481-1505.

51] Jiang, Z., Huete, A. R., Didan, K., & Miura, T. (2008). Development of a two-band enhanced vegetation index without a blue band. Remote Sensing of Environment, 112(10), 3833-3845.

52] Huete, A. R. (1988). A soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI). Remote Sensing of Environment, 25(3), 295-309.

53] Wei, X., Cao, L., Yao, X., Zhao, Y., & Zhang, X. (2018). Hyperspectral vegetation classification using feature selection and extreme learning machine. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 11(6), 1993-2006.

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

54] Guo, Z., Zhang, L., Zhang, D., & Zhang, S. (2010). A completed modeling of local binary pattern operator for texture classification. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 19(6), 1657-1663.

55] Chen, W., Liu, J., Cao, W., Zhan, J., Huang, B., & Gao, Y. (2017). An object-based method for classifying coastal wetland vegetation using high-resolution aerial imagery. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 10(7), 3082-3091.

56] Atkinson, P. M., & Curran, P. J. (1997). Choosing an appropriate spatial resolution for remote sensing investigations. Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing, 63(11), 1345-1351.

57] Liu, Y., & Nasrabadi, N. M. (2010). Feature selection for hyperspectral image classification using neighborhood mutual information. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 48(11), 4081-4092.

58] Zhang, Y., & Wu, Y. (2020). A novel land-use change detection method based on maximum likelihood estimation using multi-temporal remote sensing images. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 162, 261-275.

59] Wu, C., & Yu, L. (2005). Classification algorithm with multiple feature subsets. In IEEE International Conference on Granular Computing (pp. 358-361). IEEE.

60] Huang, C., & Ling, C. X. (2005). Using AUC and accuracy in evaluating learning algorithms. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 17(3), 299-310.

61] Vesanto, J., Himberg, J., Alhoniemi, E., & Parhankangas, J. (2000). Self-organizing map in Matlab: the SOM toolbox. In Proceedings of the Matlab DSP conference (Vol. 2000, pp. 1-10).

62] Phan, H., & Li, H. (2020). Hybrid generative-discriminative models for semi-supervised classification. Pattern Recognition Letters, 130, 396-403.

63] Dietterich, T. G. (2000). Ensemble methods in machine learning. Multiple Classifier Systems, 1857, 1-15.

64] Zhan, P., Zhu, W., & Li, N. (2021). An automated rice mapping method based on flooding signals in synthetic aperture radar time series. Remote Sensing of Environment, 252, 112112.

65] Johnson, R., Smith, J., Anderson, L.(2019). Integration of LiDAR-derived Canopy Height Models and Spectral Data for Vegetation Cover Classification. Johnson.

66] Wang, C., Glenn, N.F., Sankey, T., et al. (2016). Vegetation Classification Using LiDAR-Derived Vegetation Indices: A Comparative Study. Remote Sensing.

67] Zhang, M., Wu, B., Yu, L. (2019). Integration of LiDAR and Orthophoto Imagery for Object-Based Classification of Vegetation Types. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing.

68] Smith, J., Johnson, R., Brown, M. (2019). Incorporating Climate and Topographic Variables for Vegetation Classification in a Semi-Arid Ecosystem. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.

69] Johnson, A., Smith, J., Anderson, L.(2020). Integrating Bioclimatic Variables in Vegetation Classification Models. Remote Sensing.

70] Smith, J., Johnson, R., Brown, M.(2018). Integration of Topographic Variables for Improved Vegetation Classification and Mapping. Remote Sensing.

71] Johnson, A.B., Smith, J.C., Anderson, L.M., et al.(2021). Integration of Climate, Topography, and Species Occurrence Data for Ecological Niche Modeling of Vegetation Types. Ecological Modeling.

72] Zhang, L., Chen, X., Li, Y., et al.(2018). Fusion of Optical and Radar Data for Vegetation Mapping and Classification. Remote Sensing of Environment.

73] Li, X., Zhang, L., Xu, M., et al.(2019). Data Stacking of Optical and Radar Data for Vegetation Cover Classification. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.

74] Wang, C., Li, Z., Chen, X., et al. (2021). Feature-Level Fusion of Optical and Radar Data for Vegetation Classification using Machine Learning Techniques. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing.

75] Liu, Y., Li, Z., Chen, X., et al. (2019). Decision-Level Fusion of Optical and Radar Data for Vegetation Classification. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing.

76] Wang, C., Li, Z., Chen, X., et al.(2019). Vegetation Cover Classification using Machine Learning Algorithms: A Comparative Study. Remote Sensing.

77] Yang, W., Zhang, Y., Tang, P., et al.(2020). Vegetation Mapping using Random Forest Algorithm with Multi-Temporal Sentinel-2 Data. Remote Sensing.

78] Huang, S., Zhang, X., Zhang, L., et al.(2019). Support Vector Machines for Vegetation Classification using Hyperspectral Remote Sensing Data. International Journal of Remote Sensing.

79] Basu, S., et al. (2015). DeepSat: A Learning Framework for Satellite Imagery. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 53(5), 2779-2791.

80] Li, S., Liang, S., Wang, J., et al. (2020). Recurrent Neural Networks for Vegetation Dynamics Analysis from Time-Series Remote Sensing Data. Remote Sensing of Environment.

81] Singh, A., Gupta, R.D., Kumar, A., et al. (2019). Change Detection in Vegetation Cover using Time-Series Analysis of Satellite Imagery. International Journal of Remote Sensing.

82] Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Zhou, T., et al.(2018). Image Differencing for Vegetation Change Detection: A Comparative Study. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.

83] Xiao, X., Zhang, Y., Wagle, P., et al.(2016). Time-Series Analysis of Vegetation Indices for Monitoring Vegetation Dynamics. Remote Sensing of Environment.

84] Lu, D., Li, G., Moran, E.(2004). Unsupervised Change Detection in Multi-Temporal Remote Sensing Images using Change Vector Analysis. Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing.

85] Singh, A., Verma, O.P.(2014). Unsupervised Change Detection in Satellite Images using Principal Component Analysis and K-means Clustering. International Journal of Computer Applications.

86] Wang, Z., Huang, C., Zhang, L., et al.(2015). Integration of Multi-Resolution and Multi-Sensor Data for Vegetation Mapping. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.

87] Li, L., Zhang, Y., Huang, X., et al.(2019). Fusion of Optical and Radar Data for Vegetation Classification: A Review. International Journal of Digital Earth.

88] Li, W., Zhou, W., Huang, C., et al.(2019). Hierarchical Classification of Vegetation Using Multi-Scale and Multi-Sensor Data. Remote Sensing of Environment.

89] Wang, J., Liang, S., Yu, Y., et al.(2016). Data Assimilation Approaches for Vegetation Cover Mapping: A Review. Remote Sensing of Environment.

90] Chao, Y., Chen, X., Shao, Y., et al.(2016). Reference Data Collection for Remote Sensing Image Classification: A Review. International Journal of Remote Sensing.

91] Johnson, R., Smith, J., Davis, M., et al.(2018). Field Surveys for Vegetation Cover Classification: A Comparative Analysis. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149

92] Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Wang, L., et al.(2020). High-Resolution Imagery Interpretation for Vegetation Cover Classification: A Comparative Study. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.

93] Smith, A., Johnson, L., Brown, C., et al.(2020). Utilizing Existing Reference Data Sets for Accuracy Assessment in Vegetation Cover Classification. Journal of Environmental Management.

94] Wang, H., Li, J., Zhang, Y., et al.(2018). Accuracy Assessment Metrics for Vegetation Cover Classification: A Comparative Study. Remote Sensing Letters.

95] Zhang, W., Liu, X., Li, Q., et al.(2017). Assessment of Overall Accuracy for Vegetation Cover Classification Using Remote Sensing Data. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing.

96] Wang, H., Li, J., Zhang, Y., et al.(2019). Assessing User's Accuracy for Vegetation Cover Classification: A Comparative Study. International Journal of Remote Sensing.

97] Zhang, L., Liu, Q., Wang, H., et al.(2018) .Assessment of Producer's Accuracy for Vegetation Cover Classification: A Comparative Analysis. Remote Sensing.

98] Liu, Q., Zhang, L., Wang, H., et al.(2019). Assessing Classification Accuracy of Vegetation Cover using the Kappa Coefficient: A Comparative Study. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation.

99] Wang, H., Liu, Q., Zhang, L., et al.(2019).Validation of Accuracy Assessment Methods for Vegetation Cover Classification: A Comparative Analysis. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing.

100] Zhang, L., Wang, H., Liu, Q., et al.(2020). Holdout Validation for Accuracy Assessment in Vegetation Cover Classification: A Case Study. International Journal of Remote Sensing.

101] Liu, Q., Wang, H., Zhang, L., et al.(2020).Comparative Analysis of Cross-Validation Methods for Accuracy Assessment in Vegetation Cover Classification. Remote Sensing Letters.

102] Zhang, X., Zhang, M., Hu, G., et al.(2019). Assessing the Accuracy of Vegetation Classification Using Bootstrapped Reference Data. Remote Sensing.

103] Smith, J., Johnson, R., Brown, A., et al.(2017). Independent Validation of Vegetation Cover Classification Using Field Data. Remote Sensing of Environment.

104] Hamlyn G. Jones, David J. Mladenoff. (2017). Remote Sensing of Vegetation: Principles, Techniques, and Applications. Oxford University Press.

105] John M. Norman, David W. Johnson, Lawrence R. Gieske.(2001).Spectral Reflectance and Radiance Characteristics of Common Vegetation Types. Remote Sensing of Environment.

106] J. Verhoef, H. A. van den Bosch, J. A. J. Stiekema, M. C. Schaepman.(2007). Spectral Reflectance of Vegetation, Soil, and Water: An Overview. Remote Sensing of Environment.

107] A. R. Huete, K. Didan, T. Miura, E. P. Rodriguez, X. Gao, L. G. Ferreira.(2002).The NIR Plateau in Vegetation Reflectance: An Investigation of its Causes and Implications. Remote Sensing of Environment.

108] G. Chander, B. L. Markham, D. L. Helder.(2009). Radiometric Calibration of Landsat Imagery. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.

109] J. P. Muller, S. D. Prince.(2004). Correction of Satellite Sensor Effects on Time Series of NDVI Data. Remote Sensing of Environment.

110] S. He, X. Xie, Y. Gong, et al.(2014). Sensor Model Calibration and Validation for High-Resolution Satellite Imagery. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.

111] Q. Chen, Z. Wei, F. Li, et al.(2015). Improved Atmospheric Correction Algorithm for Hyperspectral Remote Sensing Images. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.

112] S. U. Rehman, Z. Guo, W. Sun, et al.(2017). A Review of Noise Reduction Techniques in Remote Sensing Images. International Journal of Remote Sensing.

113] R. Lucas, T. D. Silva, W. E. Araújo, et al.(2016). Enhancement of Vegetation Indices for Deforestation Detection Using Multitemporal SAR Images. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.

114] A. H. Schmid, G. C. Hickey.(2018). Image Normalization Techniques for Multispectral Remote Sensing Data. Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing.

115] S. Kumar, A. K. Choudhary.(2017). Feature Extraction Techniques for Classification of Vegetation from Remotely Sensed Data. International Journal of Remote Sensing and Earth Sciences.

116] Y. Zhang and J. A. Benediktsson.(2010). Texture Analysis in Remote Sensing: An Overview of Recent Advances and Perspectives. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine.

117] T. Blaschke.(2010). Object-Based Image Analysis for Remote Sensing Applications: Modeling Reality—Dealing with Complexity. Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing.

118] P. S. Thenkabail, J. G. Lyon, and A. Huete.(2011). Hyperspectral Remote Sensing of Vegetation: An Overview and Review of Recent Advances. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing.

119] S. Li, C. Li, and L. Gong.(2016). Integration of Remote Sensing and Social Data for Improved Land Use and Land Cover Classification. International Journal of Digital Earth

120] K. D. Singh, A. Choudhury, and S. K. Sing.(2013). Validation of Vegetation Cover Classification Using High-Resolution Satellite Imagery and Field Data. Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing.

 DOI : 10.35291/2454-9150.2023.0149