

# Coupled Fixed Points For Jungck Type Maps With Rational Contraction On Dislocated Quasi B-Metric Spaces

<sup>1</sup>K. P. R. Rao, <sup>2</sup>E. Taraka Ramudu

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, A.P., India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Science and Humanities, Amrita Sai Institute of Science and Technology, Paritala, A.P., India.

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove a unique common coupled fixed point theorem for Jungck type maps satisfying certain rational contraction condition in dislocated quasi b-metric space and also we provide an example to illustrate our theorem. We also obtain some recent results as corollaries.

**Keywords:** Dislocated quasi b-metric spaces, compatible maps, coupled fixed points, point of coincidence.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 1992, S.Banach [4] established remarkable fixed point theorem known as “ Banach contraction principle”. This contraction principle assures the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of self maps on metric spaces. Hitzler [8] and Hitzler and Seda [7] introduced the notion of dislocated metric spaces and extended Banach contraction principle in such spaces. Zeyada et.al [13] initiated the concept of dislocated quasi metric spaces and generalized the results of Hitzler and Seda [7] in dislocated quasi metric spaces. Bakhtin [3] introduced the concept of b-metric spaces. The concept of Bakhtin is extensively used by Czerwic [5] in connection with some problems concerning with the convergence of non measurable functions with respect to measure. Recently Klin-eam and Suanoom [9] introduced the concept of dislocated quasi b-metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems in it by using cyclic contractions. The objective of this paper is improve the some previous results without using the continuity of maps.

First we recall some known definitions and lemmas. Throughout this paper, we assume that  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is the set of all non-negative real numbers.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set,  $s \geq 1$  (a fixed real number) and  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function. Consider the following conditions on  $d$ .

- (1.1.1)  $d(x, x) = 0, \forall x \in X$
- (1.1.2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y, \forall x, y \in X$
- (1.1.3)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x), \forall x, y \in X$
- (1.1.4)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y), \forall x, y, z \in X$
- (1.1.5)  $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)], \forall x, y, z \in X$ .

(i) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.2), (1.1.3) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a dislocated metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a dislocated metric space.

(ii) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.1), (1.1.2) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a quasi metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a quasi metric space.

(iii) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.2) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a dislocated quasi metric or dq-metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a dislocated quasi metric space.

(iv) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.1), (1.1.2), (1.1.3) and (1.1.4) then  $d$  is called a metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a metric space.

(v) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.1), (1.1.2), (1.1.3) and (1.1.5) then  $d$  is called a b-metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a b-metric space.

(vi) If  $d$  satisfies (1.1.2) and (1.1.5) then  $d$  is called a dislocated quasi b-metric and  $(X, d)$  is called a dislocated quasi b-metric space or a dq b-metric space.

**Definition 1.2:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq b-metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, d)$  is said to be

(i) dq b-convergent if there exists some point  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n)$ .

In this case  $x$  is called a dq b-limit of  $\{x_n\}$  and we write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0 = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_m, x_n)$ .

The space  $(X, d)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is dq b-convergent.

One can prove easily the following

**Lemma 1.3:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dq b-metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be dq b-convergent to  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\frac{1}{s} d(x, y) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf d(x_n, y) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup d(x_n, y) \leq s d(x, y) \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{s} d(y, x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf d(y, x_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup d(y, x_n) \leq s d(y, x).$$

**Note:**  $\frac{1}{2s} d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

The notion of coupled fixed point is introduced by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [6] and studied some fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. Later Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [10] defined coupled coincidence point and common coupled fixed points for a pair of maps and Abbas et.al [1] introduced the notion of w-compatible mappings.

**Definition 1.4 ([6]):** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  if  $x = F(x, y)$  and  $y = F(y, x)$ .

**Definition 1.5 ([10]):** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called

(1) a coupled coincidence point of mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  if

$$fx = F(x, y) \text{ and } fy = F(y, x).$$

(2) a common coupled fixed point of mappings  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  if

$$x = fx = F(x, y) \text{ and } y = fy = F(y, x).$$

(3) ([1]). The pair  $(F, f)$  is called  $w$ -compatible if  $f(F(x, y)) = F(fx, fy)$  and

$f(F(y, x)) = F(fy, fx)$  whenever there exist  $x, y \in X$  with  $fx = F(x, y)$  and  $fy = F(y, x)$ .

**Definition 1.6 ([2]):** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings. If there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $fx = gx$  then  $x$  is called a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$  and  $fx$  is called a point of coincidence of  $f$  and  $g$ .

we generalize Definition 1.6 for a pair of maps in which one is a coupled map as follows.

**Definition 1.7:** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $S : X \rightarrow X$ . If there exists  $(u, v) \in X \times X$  such that  $F(u, v) = Su$  and  $F(v, u) = Sv$  then  $(Su, Sv)$  is called a coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$  and  $(u, v)$  is called a coupled coincidence point of  $F$  and  $S$ .

**Definition 1.8:** For a fixed real number  $s \geq 1$ , let  $\Phi_s$  denote the class of all functions

$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the following

- ( $\varphi_1$ ):  $\varphi$  is monotonically non-decreasing,
- ( $\varphi_2$ ):  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s^n \varphi^n(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Now we give our main Theorem.

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dislocated quasi  $b$ -metric space with fixed real number  $s \geq 1$  and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $S : X \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying

$$(2.1.1) \quad d(F(x, y), F(u, v))$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Sx, Su), d(Sy, Sv), \frac{1}{s} d(Sx, F(x, y)), \\ \frac{1}{s} d(Sy, F(y, x)), \frac{1}{s} d(Su, F(u, v)), \frac{1}{s} d(Sv, F(v, u)), \\ \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sx, F(u, v)), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sy, F(v, u)), \\ \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Su, F(x, y)), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sv, F(y, x)), \\ \left[ \frac{d(Sx, F(x, y)) d(Su, F(u, v))}{1 + d(Sx, Su) + d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \left[ \frac{d(Sy, F(y, x)) d(Sv, F(v, u))}{1 + d(Sx, Su) + d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \\ \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sx, F(x, y)) d(Sx, F(u, v))}{1 + d(Sx, Su) + d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sy, F(y, x)) d(Sy, F(v, u))}{1 + d(Sx, Su) + d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \\ \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Su, F(x, y)) d(Su, F(u, v))}{1 + d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u))} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sv, F(y, x)) d(Sv, F(v, u))}{1 + d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u))} \right] \end{array} \right)$$

for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $\varphi \in \Phi_s$ ,

(2.1.2)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq S(X)$  and  $S(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ ,

(2.1.3) the pair  $(F, S)$  is  $w$ -compatible

Then  $F$  and  $S$  have a unique common coupled fixed point in  $X \times X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$ .

From (2.1.2), there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$ , in  $X$  such that

( $\varphi_3$ ):  $\varphi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

( $\varphi_4$ ):  $\varphi$  is continuous.

From ( $\varphi_1$ ) and ( $\varphi_3$ ) or ( $\varphi_3$ ) and ( $\varphi_4$ ) we have  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

Recently Sumati Kumari et.al [12] proved the following

**Theorem 1.9 [12]:** Let  $(X, bd_q)$  be a complete dislocated quasi  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$ , and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous mapping such that  $\varphi(t) = 0$  iff  $t = 0$  and  $\varphi(t) < 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s^n \varphi^n(t)$  is  $bd_q$  converges for all  $t > 0$ , where  $\varphi^n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  iterate of  $\varphi$  then  $T$  has unique fixed point.

We note that in this theorem the authors inherently used the condition that  $\varphi$  is monotonically non-decreasing in proving

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \varphi^n(d(x_1, x_0)).$$

Rahman [11] proved the following

**Theorem 1.10 [11] :** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $d_q$ - $b$ -metric space with fixed real number  $s \geq 1$ , and  $T$  be a continuous self mapping on  $X$  satisfying

$$(1.10.1) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] + \mu [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$$

$$\forall x, y \in X, \text{ where } \alpha, \beta, \mu \geq 0 \text{ with } \alpha + (1 + s)\beta + 2(s^2 + s)\mu < 1$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

In this paper we mainly prove a unique common coupled fixed point theorem for Jungck type maps satisfying certain rational contraction condition in dislocated quasi  $b$ -metric spaces and also we provide an example to illustrate our theorem. We also obtain some recent results as corollaries.

## II. MAIN RESULT

$$F(x_n, y_n) = Sx_{n+1}, n = 0,1,2, \dots$$

$$F(y_n, x_n) = Sy_{n+1}, n = 0,1,2, \dots$$

Case (i): Suppose

$$\max \{d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n)\} \neq 0 \text{ for all } n = 1,2,3, \dots$$

Now from (2.1.1),  $(\varphi_i)$  and Note, we have

$$d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) = d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n))$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), \frac{1}{s} d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), \frac{1}{s} d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), \\ & \frac{1}{s} d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{s} d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_{n+1}), \\ & \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sx_n, Sx_n), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sy_n, Sy_n), \\ & \frac{d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) + d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n)}, \frac{d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n) d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) + d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n)}, \\ & \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_{n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) + d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n)} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n) d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_{n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) + d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n)} \right], \\ & \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sx_n, Sx_n) d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sy_n, Sy_n) d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})}{1 + d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), \\ & d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), \\ & \max\{d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})\}, \\ & \max\{d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})\}, \\ & \max\{d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)\}, \\ & \max\{d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n)\} \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}), \\ & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

Similarly we can show that  $d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}), \\ & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$

$$d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}), \\ & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

$$d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}), \\ & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

$$\text{Thus } \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \text{----- (1)}$$

$$\text{If } \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), \\ & d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Then from (1), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

which is a contradiction from  $(\varphi_3)$  and Case (i).

Hence from (1), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n), d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}), \\ & d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

which is true for  $n = 1,2,3, \dots$

Continuing in this way, we obtain

$$\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), \\ & d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n) \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \varphi^n(t) \text{----- (2)}$$

$$\text{where } t = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(Sx_0, Sx_1), d(Sx_1, Sx_0), \\ & d(Sy_0, Sy_1), d(Sy_1, Sy_0) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Now for all positive integers  $n$  and  $p$ , consider, using (5),

$$d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+p}) \leq s d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) + s^2 d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_{n+2}) + \dots + s^p d(Sx_{n+p-1}, Sx_{n+p})$$

$$\leq s \varphi^n(t) + s^2 \varphi^{n+1}(t) + \dots + s^p \varphi^{n+p-1}(t), \text{ where } t \text{ is as in above}$$

$$\leq s^n \varphi^n(t) + s^{n+1} \varphi^{n+1}(t) + \dots + s^{n+p-1} \varphi^{n+p-1}(t) \text{ since } s \geq 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=n}^{n+p-1} s^i \varphi^i(t) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} s^i \varphi^i(t).$$

Since  $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} s^i \varphi^i(t)$  converges for all  $t > 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+p}) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx_{n+p}, Sx_n) &\leq s d(Sx_{n+p}, Sx_{n+1}) + s d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n) \\ &\leq s^2 d(Sx_{n+p}, Sx_{n+2}) + s^2 d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}) + s d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n) \\ &\leq s^3 d(Sx_{n+p}, Sx_{n+3}) + s^3 d(Sx_{n+3}, Sx_{n+2}) + s^2 d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}) + s d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n) \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\leq s^{p-1} d(Sx_{n+p}, Sx_{n+p-1}) + s^{p-1} d(Sx_{n+p-1}, Sx_{n+p-2}) + \dots + s^2 d(Sx_{n+2}, Sx_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + s d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n) \\ &\leq s^{p-1} \varphi^{n+p-1}(t) + s^{p-1} \varphi^{n+p-2}(t) + \dots + s^2 \varphi^{n+1}(t) + s \varphi^n(t) \\ &\leq s^{n+p-1} \varphi^{n+p-1}(t) + s^{n+p-2} \varphi^{n+p-2}(t) + \dots + s^{n+1} \varphi^{n+1}(t) + s^n \varphi^n(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{n+p-1} s^i \varphi^i(t) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{i=n}^{n+p-1} s^i \varphi^i(t)$  converges for all  $t > 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sx_{n+p}, Sx_n) = 0$ .

Similarly we can show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+p}) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sy_{n+p}, Sy_n) = 0$ .

Thus  $\{Sx_n\}$  and  $\{Sy_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X$ .

Since  $S(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ , there exist  $u$  and  $v$  in  $X$  such that

$$Sx_n \rightarrow Su \text{ and } Sy_n \rightarrow Sv.$$

By Lemma (1.3),  $(\varphi_1)$  and  $(\varphi_4)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{s} d(F(u, v), Su) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf d(F(u, v), F(x_n, y_n))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(Su, Sx_n), d(Sv, Sy_n), \frac{1}{s} d(Su, F(u, v)), \\ &\frac{1}{s} d(Sv, F(v, u)), \frac{1}{s} d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{s} d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), \\ &\frac{1}{2s^2} d(Su, Sx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sv, Sy_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sx_n, F(u, v)), \\ &\frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sy_n, F(v, u)), \frac{d(Su, F(u, v)) d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})}{1+d(Su, Sx_n)+d(Sv, Sy_n)}, \\ &\frac{d(Sv, F(v, u)) d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})}{1+d(Su, Sx_n)+d(Sv, Sy_n)}, \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Su, F(u, v)) d(Su, Sx_{n+1})}{1+d(Su, Sx_n)+d(Sv, Sy_n)} \right], \\ &\frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sv, F(v, u)) d(Sv, Sy_{n+1})}{1+d(Su, Sx_n)+d(Sv, Sy_n)} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sx_n, F(u, v)) d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})}{1+d(F(u, v), Sx_{n+1})+d(F(v, u), Sy_{n+1})} \right], \\ &\frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sy_n, F(v, u)) d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1})}{1+d(F(u, v), Sx_{n+1})+d(F(v, u), Sy_{n+1})} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &0, 0, \frac{1}{s} d(Su, F(u, v)), \frac{1}{s} d(Sv, F(v, u)), 0, 0, 0, 0, \\ &\frac{1}{2s} d(Su, F(u, v)), \frac{1}{2s} d(Sv, F(v, u)), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \\ &= \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{ d(Su, F(u, v)), d(Sv, F(v, u)) \} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s} d(Su, F(u, v)) &\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{ d(Su, F(u, v)), d(Sv, F(v, u)) \} \right) \\ \frac{1}{s} d(F(v, u), Sv) &\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{ d(Su, F(u, v)), d(Sv, F(v, u)) \} \right) \\ \frac{1}{s} d(Sv, F(v, u)) &\leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \{ d(Su, F(u, v)), d(Sv, F(v, u)) \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus using  $(\varphi_1)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{s} \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(F(u, v), Su), d(Su, F(u, v)), \\ &d(F(v, u), Sv), d(Sv, F(v, u)) \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \varphi \left( \frac{1}{s} \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(F(u, v), Su), d(Su, F(u, v)), \\ &d(F(v, u), Sv), d(Sv, F(v, u)) \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from  $(\varphi_3)$  and (1.1.2) that  $F(u, v) = Su$  and  $F(v, u) = Sv$ .

Thus  $(Su, Sv)$  is a coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$ .

We will show that  $d(Su, Su) = d(Sv, Sv) = 0$  if  $(Su, Sv)$  is a coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su, Su) &= d(F(u, v), F(u, v)) \\ &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(Su, Su), d(Sv, Sv), \frac{1}{s} d(Su, Su), \frac{1}{s} d(Sv, Sv), \frac{1}{s} d(Su, Su), \\ &\frac{1}{s} d(Sv, Sv), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Su, Su), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sv, Sv), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Su, Su), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sv, Sv) \end{aligned} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max \{ d(Su, Su), d(Sv, Sv) \}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$d(Sv, Sv) \leq \varphi(\max \{ d(Su, Su), d(Sv, Sv) \})$$

Thus  $\max \{ d(Su, Su), d(Sv, Sv) \} \leq \varphi(\max \{ d(Su, Su), d(Sv, Sv) \})$



which in turn yields from  $(\varphi_3)$  and (1.1.2) that  $d(Su, Su) = d(Sv, Sv) = 0$ .

Suppose  $(Sp, Sq)$  is another coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$ .

Hence  $Sp = F(p, q)$  and  $Sq = F(q, p)$ . Also  $d(Sp, Sq) = d(Sq, Sp) = 0$ .

Now consider

$$d(Su, Sp) = d(F(u, v), F(p, q))$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(Su, Sp), d(Sv, Sq), \frac{1}{s} d(Su, Su), \frac{1}{s} d(Sv, Sv), \frac{1}{s} d(Sp, Sp), \\ \frac{1}{s} d(Sq, Sq), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Su, Sp), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sv, Sv), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sp, Su), \\ \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sv, Sv), \frac{d(Su, F(u, v)) d(Sp, F(p, q))}{1+d(Su, Sp)+d(Sv, Sq)}, \\ \frac{d(Sv, F(v, u)) d(Sq, F(q, p))}{1+d(Su, Sp)+d(Sv, Sq)}, \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Su, F(u, v)) d(Su, F(p, q))}{1+d(Su, Sp)+d(Sv, Sq)} \right], \\ \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sv, F(v, u)) d(Sv, F(q, p))}{1+d(Su, Sp)+d(Sv, Sq)} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sp, F(u, v)) d(Sp, F(p, q))}{1+d(F(u, v), F(p, q))+d(F(v, u), F(q, p))} \right], \\ \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sq, F(v, u)) d(Sq, F(q, p))}{1+d(F(u, v), F(p, q))+d(F(v, u), F(q, p))} \right] \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

$$\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(Su, Sp), d(Sv, Sq), d(Sp, Su), d(Sq, Sv) \right\} \right)$$

Similarly we can show that

$$d(Sp, Su) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(Su, Sp), d(Sv, Sq), d(Sp, Su), d(Sq, Sv) \right\} \right)$$

$$d(Sv, Sq) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(Su, Sp), d(Sv, Sq), d(Sp, Su), d(Sq, Sv) \right\} \right)$$

$$d(Sq, Sv) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(Su, Sp), d(Sv, Sq), d(Sp, Su), d(Sq, Sv) \right\} \right)$$

Thus we have

$$\max \left\{ d(Su, Sp), d(Sv, Sq), d(Sp, Su), d(Sq, Sv) \right\} \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ d(Su, Sp), d(Sv, Sq), d(Sp, Su), d(Sq, Sv) \right\} \right)$$

which in turn yields from  $(\varphi_3)$  and (1.1.2) that  $Su = Sp$  and  $Sv = Sq$ .

Thus the coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$  is unique.

Let  $\alpha = Su$  and  $\beta = Sv$ .

Since  $(F, S)$  is  $w$ -compatible, we have

$$S\alpha = S(Su) = S(F(u, v)) = F(Su, Sv) = F(\alpha, \beta) \text{ and}$$

$$S\beta = S(Sv) = S(F(v, u)) = F(Sv, Su) = F(\beta, \alpha).$$

Thus  $(S\alpha, S\beta)$  is a coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$ .

Since  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is the unique coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$

It follows that  $S\alpha = \alpha$  and  $S\beta = \beta$ .

Thus  $\alpha = S\alpha = F(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $\beta = S\beta = F(\beta, \alpha)$ .

Hence  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is a common coupled fixed point of  $F$  and  $S$ .

If  $(z, w)$  is another common coupled fixed point of  $F$  and  $S$  then

$$z = F(z, w) = Sz \text{ and } w = F(w, z) = Sw.$$

Hence  $(z, w)$  is a coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$ .

Since  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is the unique coupled point of coincidence of  $F$  and  $S$ ,

We have  $z = \alpha$  and  $w = \beta$ .

Thus  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is the unique common coupled fixed point of  $F$  and  $S$ .

**Case (ii):** Suppose

$$\max \{d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}), d(Sx_{n+1}, Sx_n), d(Sy_n, Sy_{n+1}), d(Sy_{n+1}, Sy_n)\} = 0 \text{ for some } n.$$

Then  $Sx_n = Sx_{n+1}$  and  $Sy_n = Sy_{n+1}$ .

Hence  $Sx_n = F(x_n, y_n)$  and  $Sy_n = F(y_n, x_n)$ .

Thus  $Su = F(u, v)$  and  $Sv = F(v, u)$  where  $u = x_n$  and  $v = y_n$ .

The rest of the proof follows as in Case (i).

Now we give an example to illustrate Theorem 2.1.

**Example 2.2:** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, y) = (x + 2y)^2$ . Let  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $S: X \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $F(x, y) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{16}$  and  $Sx = \frac{x^2}{4}$ . Let  $\varphi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  be defined by  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{4}$ , for all  $t \in R^+$ . Then  $d$  is dislocated quasi  $b$ -metric with  $s = 2$ .

$$\text{Consider } d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = \left( \frac{x^2+y^2}{16} + \frac{2(u^2+v^2)}{16} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{x^2+2u^2+y^2+2v^2}{16} \right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{x^2+u^2}{4} + \frac{y^2+v^2}{2}\right)^2}{16} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{8} \left[ \left(\frac{x^2+u^2}{4} + \frac{y^2+v^2}{2}\right)^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \max\{d(Sx, Su), d(Sy, Sv)\} \\
 &\leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(Sx, Su), d(Sy, Sv), \frac{1}{2s} d(Sx, F(x, y)), \\ &\frac{1}{s} d(Sy, F(y, x)), \frac{1}{s} d(Su, F(u, v)), \frac{1}{s} d(Sv, F(v, u)), \\ &\frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sx, F(u, v)), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sy, F(v, u)), \\ &\frac{1}{2s^2} d(Su, F(x, y)), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(Sv, F(y, x)), \\ &\left[ \frac{d(Sx, F(x, y)) d(Su, F(u, v))}{1+d(Sx, Su)+d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \left[ \frac{d(Sy, F(y, x)) d(Sv, F(v, u))}{1+d(Sx, Su)+d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \\ &\frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sx, F(x, y)) d(Sx, F(u, v))}{1+d(Sx, Su)+d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sy, F(y, x)) d(Sy, F(v, u))}{1+d(Sx, Su)+d(Sy, Sv)} \right], \\ &\frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Su, F(x, y)) d(Su, F(u, v))}{1+d(F(x, y), F(u, v))+d(F(y, x), F(v, u))} \right], \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(Sv, F(y, x)) d(Sv, F(v, u))}{1+d(F(x, y), F(u, v))+d(F(y, x), F(v, u))} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , where  $\varphi \in \Phi_s$ ,

Thus (2.1.1) is satisfied. One can easily verify all the remaining conditions of Theorem 2.1

Clearly (0,0) is the unique common coupled fixed point of F and S.

**Corollary 2.3:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a dislocated quasi b-metric space with fixed real number  $s \geq 1$  and  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying

$$(2.3.1) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(gx, gy), \frac{1}{s} d(gx, fx), \frac{1}{s} d(gy, fy), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(gx, fy), \\ &\frac{1}{2s^2} d(gy, fx), \frac{d(gx, fx) d(gy, fy)}{1+d(gx, gy)}, \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(gx, fx) d(gx, fy)}{1+d(gx, gy)} \right], \\ &\frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(gy, fy) d(gy, fx)}{1+d(fx, fy)} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi \in \Phi_s$ ,

(2.3.2)  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$  and  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of

$X$ ,

(2.3.3) the pair  $(f, g)$  is weakly compatible.

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.4:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete dislocated quasi b-metric space with fixed real number  $s \geq 1$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be mapping satisfying

(2.4.1)

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(x, y), \frac{1}{s} d(x, fx), \frac{1}{s} d(y, fy), \frac{1}{2s^2} d(x, fy), \\ &\frac{1}{2s^2} d(y, fx), \frac{d(x, fx) d(y, fy)}{1+d(x, y)}, \frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(x, fx) d(x, fy)}{1+d(x, y)} \right], \\ &\frac{1}{2s} \left[ \frac{d(y, fy) d(y, fx)}{1+d(fx, fy)} \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \right)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$ , where  $\varphi \in \Phi_s$ .

Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Remark 2.5:** Corollary 2.4 is a generalization of Theorem 4.1 of [12].

**Remark 2.6 :** In Theorem 1.10 (i.e Theorem 3.1 of [11]) the author used the continuity of  $T$  with the condition  $\alpha s + (1 + s)\beta + 2(s^2 + s)\mu < 1$ . Theorem 1.10 is true without continuity of  $T$  if we assume  $\alpha + 2\beta s + 4\mu s^2 < 1$ . It follows from Corollary 2.4 with  $\varphi(t) = (\alpha + 2\beta s + 4\mu s^2)(t)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas, M., Alikhan, M. and Radenovic, S.: Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w-compatible mappings, Appl. Math. comput. 217 (1), (2010), 195-202.
- [2] Abbas, M. and Jungck, G.: Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces .J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341(2008), 416-420.
- [3] Bakhtin, I.A.: The contraction mapping principle in quasi metric spaces ,Functional Analysis, 30 (1989) 26-37.
- [4] Banach, S.: Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations, Integrals, Fund. Math. 3(1922)133-181.
- [5] Czerwik, S.: Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis. 1, (1993), 5-11.
- [6] Gnana Bhaskar, T. and Lakshmi Kantham, V.: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, Nonlinear Analysis theory, Methods and applications, 65(7), (2006), 1379-1393.
- [7] Hitzler, P. and Seda, A.K.: Dislocated topologies, J. Electr. Engin, 51(12/S), (2000), 3-7.
- [8] Hitzler, P.: Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics, Ph.D Thesis, National university of Ireland, University College Cork, (2001).
- [9] Klin-eam, C. and Suanoom, C.: Dislocated quasi-b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems for cyclic contractions, Fixed point theory and applications 2015, (2015): 74, 12 pages.
- [10] Lakshmi Kantham, V. and Ciric, Lj.: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Nonlinear Analysis Theory, Methods and Applications, 70(12), (2009), 4341-4349.
- [11] Rahman, M.U.: New fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi-b-metric space, Appl. Math. Sci. Lett. 5, (1) (2017), 7-11.
- [12] Sumati Kumari, P. Ansari, A.H. and Sarwar, M.: On b-dislocated quasi-metric space, International Journal of Advances in Mathematics, 2017(1), (2017), 30-40.
- [13] Zeyada, F.M., Hassan, G.H. and Ahmad, M.A.: A generalization of fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and Seda in dislocated quasi metric space, Arabian J. Sci. Engg. 31, (2005), 111-114.