

# Analysis of building performance with reference to Eco Samhita 2018 in Indore

Ar. Vishal Yardi, Research Scholar, MITS Gwalior, M. P, India, vishalyardi20@yahoo.com

Dr. R. K. Pandit, Director, MITS, Gwalior, M. P, India, drpanditrk@gmail.com

**Abstract** - Till 14 Dec 2018 there was no code in India for energy performance of building. Rule related windows in building bye laws with respect to floor area were also outdated due to transformation in windows material and operating system. Hence there is a great scope to increase awareness about energy efficiency of building among common people, potential house owners and builders. Eco-niwas Samhita 2018 offers flexibility to Architects to design energy efficient building in their own way and explains to analyze building into only Four criteria which are WFR (op) meaning Openable window to floor area ratio, VLT value meaning Visual light transmittance with reference to window to wall area ratio (WWR), U value of Roof and RETV meaning Residential Envelope Transmittance Value. This paper discusses about these criteria with specific reference to one block of selected Apartment building Mapple Wood in Indore to verify its compliance with prescribed limit with respect to ENS code 2018. After analyzing these four criteria it was observed that Shading of Windows and insulation of top slab are two issues which need to be addressed scientifically at design stage to improve energy performance of building.

**Keywords** — Openable window to floor area ratio, Residential Envelope Transmittance Value, SHGC, U Roof , Visual light transmittance, window to wall area ratio.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In India, there was no code for building envelope of residential buildings until the recently (14 December 2018) launched code, “Eco-Niwas Samhita 2018”. In this code building envelope has been prepared to set minimum building envelope performance standards to limit heat gains for cooling dominated climates and to limit heat loss for heating dominated climates, as well as for ensuring adequate natural ventilation and day lighting potential. The code provides design flexibility to innovate and vary important envelope components such as wall type, window size and type of glazing and external shading to windows to meet the compliance.

The code sets minimum building envelope performance standard for adequate natural ventilation potential by specifying minimum openable window-to-floor area

ratio (WFR<sub>op</sub>) as 12.5%.

The code sets minimum building envelope performance standard for adequate daylight potential by specifying minimum visible light transmittance (VLT) for the

non-opaque building envelope components.

Maximum value of residential envelope transmittance value (RETV) for building envelope (except roof) applicable for composite climate is 15w/m<sup>2</sup> and maximum u value for roof specified as 1.2 w/m<sup>2</sup>

Maple Woods spreads across 15.67 acres. The site is located on a proposed 30 meters wide master plan road near Rau By-pass at Pipliya Kumar village road and connected to

Dewas Naka. It is located in the northeast side of suburbs of Indore and is easily accessible to Vijay nagar, the new business district. Indore falls under composite zone.

## II. METHODOLOGY

The performance of the building was verified against 4 criteria which are WFR (op), Visual light Transmittance with reference to window to wall area ratio, U value of slab and RETV value. Hence all these criteria will be calculated for selected building to cross check whether they fall in prescribed limits.




Figure 1: Flow chart for Methodology

Table 1: OPENABLE WINDOW TO FLOOR AREA RATIO

Details of Floor Area				
Flat No.	Space	Length (m)	width(m)	Total Area (sqm)
1&2	Living	5.00	4.87	24.35
	Kitchen	3.50	3.10	10.85
	Dinning	6.00	3.42	20.52
	Bed 1	3.35	3.96	13.27
	Bed 2	3.35	3.96	13.27
	Bed 3	3.65	4.57	16.68
	Bed 4	3.35	3.65	12.23
	Toilet 1	2.75	1.50	4.13
	Toilet 2	2.10	1.50	3.15
	Toilet 3	2.28	1.29	2.94
	Toilet 4	2.75	1.50	4.13
	Dressing store	2.59	1.40	3.63
		0.84	1.07	0.90
<b>Total of Single unit</b>				<b>130.03</b>
<b>Total of 1 &amp; 2</b>				<b>260.05</b>
3&4	Living	5.00	4.80	24.00
	Kitchen	3.58	3.12	11.17
	Dinnning	7.00	3.50	24.50
	Bed 1	3.30	3.96	13.07
	Bed 2	3.60	4.57	16.45
	Bed 3	3.30	3.66	12.08
	bed 4	3.30	4.42	14.59
	Toilet 1	2.75	1.50	4.13
	Toilet 2	2.10	1.50	3.15
	Toilet 3	2.28	1.29	2.94
	Toilet 4	2.75	1.50	4.13
	Dressing store	2.59	1.40	3.63
		1.40	1.06	1.48
Total of Single unit				135.30
<b>Total of 3 &amp; 4</b>				<b>270.61</b>
<b>Total floor area including all flats at a floor</b>				<b>530.66</b>

Schedule of Openable Areas					
Type	Opening area	Nos	Total opening area	Opening Percentage	Openable Area
W1(living)	6.30	4	25.2	66	16.63
W2(room)	3.15	4	12.6	50	6.30
W3(room)	4.41	8	35.28	66	23.28
W4	1.35	4	5.4	50	2.70
W5	0.72	4	2.88	50	1.44
V	0.54	12	6.48	90	5.83
D	1.89	4	7.56	90	6.80
<b>Total</b>					<b>62.99</b>
<b>Openable Window-to-Floor Area Ratio (WFR op)</b>					<b>0.119</b>
<b>(In terms of percentage)(%)</b>					<b>11.87</b>

Minimum WFR (op) should be minimum 12.5, hence this

criteria does not comply with code.

Table 2: WINDOW TO WALL AREA RATIO

Apartment -Mapple Wood (Block A1) Nipaniya.							
Details of window				Details of wall			WWR
Window Location	Area of windows / doors (m <sup>2</sup> )	Total Windows from all 10 floors	Total Area of Windows/ Doors	Length (m)	Height of all 10 floors (m)	Total Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	
<b>North wall</b>							
Flat no. 1 and 3 bedroom	3.15	20.00	63.00	32.55	31.80	1034.9	0.07
Toilet ventilator	0.54	20.00	10.80				
Total window / door area			<b>73.80</b>				
<b>East wall</b>							
Flat no. 1,2, Living	6.30	20.00	126.00	29.57	31.80	940.33	0.32
Flat no. 1,2 - Bedrooms	4.41	40.00	176.40				
Total window / door area			<b>302.40</b>				
<b>West wall</b>							
Flat no. 3,4, Living	6.30	20.00	126.00	29.57	31.80	940.33	0.32
Flat no. 3,4 - Bedrooms	4.41	40.00	176.40				
Total window / door area			<b>302.40</b>				
<b>South Wall</b>							
Flat no. 2 and 4 bedroom	3.15	20.00	63.00	32.55	31.80	1034.9	0.07
Toilet ventilator	0.54	20.00	10.80				
Total window / door			<b>73.80</b>				

area				
Average WWR of building =				0.79
Total wall area A (envelope)		3950.5		

As 6mm single clear glass is used for this project with VLT of 0.85 whereas minimum VLT required for WWR 0.2 is 0.27. Hence VLT criteria comply with code.

Table 3: U VALUE CALCULATIONS

Details of U value calculation (Slab)				
Slab Layers	Thickness (m)	Thermal Conductivity (W/m.K)	Thermal resistance (R = t / k)	U value (W/m <sup>2</sup> K) (1/R)
Inner color	0.004	0.040	0.100	
Inner Plaster	0.012	0.721	0.017	
Slab	0.150	1.580	0.095	
Outer Plaster	0.018	0.721	0.025	
Brickbat				
Coba	0.150	0.811	0.185	
Mortar	0.010	0.719	0.014	
Rse(external)	Refer note below		0.040	
Rsi(internal)	Refer note below		0.170	
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.344</b>	<b>4.592</b>	<b>0.645</b>	

**Note-** Rse is exterior surface film thermal resistance and Rsi is interior surface film thermal resistance. Their values are obtained as per Annexure 5, page no 22, table no 6 of Eco Niwas Samhita 2018, referred from BEE 2009, Building Code user guide.

Details of U value calculation (Wall)					
Walling Layers	Thickness (m) (t)	Thermal Conductivity (W/m.K) (k)	Thermal resistance (R = t / k)	U value (W/m <sup>2</sup> K) (1/R)	
Inner color	0.002	0.040	0.050		
Inner Cement Plaster	0.012	0.721	0.017		
Wall - Burnt Clay Brick (Density 1760 kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.200	0.980	0.204		
Outer Cement Plaster	0.018	0.721	0.025		
Outer Color	0.004	0.040	0.100		
Rse	Refer note below		0.040		
Rsi	Refer note below		0.130		
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.236</b>	<b>2.502</b>	<b>0.566</b>		<b>1.768</b>

**Note-** Rse is exterior surface film thermal resistance and Rsi is interior surface film thermal resistance. Their values are obtained as per Annexure 5, page no 22, table no 6 of Eco Niwas Samhita 2018, referred from BEE 2009, Building Code user guide.

As U value of slab is 1.549 which is greater than 1.2 hence this criteria does not comply with code.

Table 4: RETV CALCULATIONS

CALCULATION for term 1	
------------------------	--

Orientation	Component	Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) (A)	U Value (W/m <sup>2</sup> ) (B)	Orientation Factor (u) (C)	(AxBxC)
North	Brick wall	1034.93	1.77	0.659	1207.175
	Wood				
South	Brick wall	1034.93	1.77	0.966	1769.546
	Wood				
East	Brick wall	940.33	1.77	1.155	1922.355
	Wood	16.17	2.91	1.155	54.348
West	Brick wall	940.33	1.77	1.156	1924.020
	Wood	16.17	2.91	1.156	54.395
<b>Total (term 1)</b>					<b>6931.83</b>

CALCULATION for term 2					
Orientation	Component	Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) (A)	U Value (W/m <sup>2</sup> ) (B)	Orientation Factor (u) (C)	(AxBxC)
North	windows	73.80	5.64	0.659	274.297
South	windows	73.80	5.64	0.966	402.080
East	windows	302.40	5.64	1.155	1969.894
West	windows	302.40	5.64	1.156	1971.600
<b>Total (term 2)</b>					<b>4617.871</b>




Figure 2: Projection Factor

<b>CALCULATION OF SHGC</b>	PF overhang =	H overhang / V overhang = 0.45/1.2 = 0.375
(Note- From PF overhang SHGC is obtained from table 11, page 31, Econiwas Samhita 18)		

CALCULATION for term 3					
Orientation	Component	Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) (A)	Equivalent SHGC (B)	Orientation Factor (u) (C)	(AxBxC)
North	windows	73.80	0.86	0.659	41.825
South	windows	73.80	0.754	0.966	53.753
East	windows	302.40	0.797	1.155	278.370
West	windows	302.40	0.796	1.156	278.261
<b>Total (term 3)</b>					<b>652.210</b>

$$RET\ V = \frac{1}{A_{envelope}} \times \left[ \begin{aligned} & \left\{ a \times \sum_{i=1}^n (A_{opaque_i} \times U_{opaque_i} \times \omega_i) \right\} \\ & + \left\{ b \times \sum_{i=1}^n (A_{non-opaque_i} \times U_{non-opaque_i} \times \omega_i) \right\} \\ & + \left\{ c \times \sum_{i=1}^n (A_{non-opaque_i} \times SHGC_{eq_i} \times \omega_i) \right\} \end{aligned} \right]$$

← Term 1
← Term 2

$$RET\ V = 1/A_{envelope} [ \{ a \sum (A_{opaque} \times U_{opaque} \times \omega) \} + \{ b \sum (A_{non\ opaque} \times U_{non\ opaque} \times \omega) \} + \{ c \sum (A_{non\ opaque} \times SHGC_{eq} \times \omega) \} ]$$

← Term 3

A envelope calculated	3950.51
-----------------------	---------

1/A envelope	=	0.000253132
--------------	---	-------------

**Calculation considering values of constants a, b, c for composite climate**

Constant	Value of Constant (1)	Output of term 1,2,3 (2)	(1) x (2)	Remark
a	6.06	6931.838994	42006.9443	<b>Term 1</b>
b	1.85	4617.870696	8543.060788	<b>Term 2</b>
c	68.99	652.2096816	44995.94593	<b>Term 3</b>
<b>Total (term 1+term2+term3)</b>			<b>95545.95102</b>	(Addition of all terms)
<b>RET V</b>	<b>=</b>	0.000253132	X	95545.95102

<b>RET V</b>	<b>=</b>	<b>24.186</b>
--------------	----------	---------------

As the value of RETV is 24.18 w/m<sup>2</sup> which is greater than 15w/m<sup>2</sup> hence this criteria of code is not complied.

**CONCUSION-**

Thus with reference to the building analyzed it was clear that value of VLT is with in prescribed limit of ENS code hence this criteria is complaint but except VLT all other 3 criteria which are WFR (op), U Roof and RETV do not match with the prescribed limit ENS code. Out of these three criteria WFR (op) is very near to the prescribed limit. To fulfill other two criteria insulation treatment to roof and proper shading of windows are recommended so that value of RETV can be achieved below 15 in order to prevent excess heat gain inside the building and in turn reduce mechanical cooling load.

**Table 5: Conclusion**

Sr No	Requirement	Calculated	Criteria by Code	Status	Remark
1	WFR (op)	11.87	Maximum 12.5	Non-Compliant	11.87 < 12.5
2	VLT %	85	Minimum 27	Compliant	85 > 27
3	U roof	1.55	Maximum 1.2	Non-Compliant	1.55 > 1.2
4	RET V	24.19	Maximum 15	Non-Compliant	24.19 > 15
<b>only 1 out of 4 criteria are compliant and 3 does not comply with ENS Code 2018</b>					



**Figure 3: Typical Floor Plan, Mapple Wood, Indore**



**Figure 4: Location Map Mapple Wood, Indore**





Figure 5: Mapple Wood, Indore

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE) (2018). Eco-Niwas Samhita 2018 (Energy Conservation Building Code for Residential Buildings) Part I: Building Envelope
- [2] Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) (2016). National Building Code of India 2016
- [3] NITI Aayog (2015). A Report on Energy Efficiency and Energy Mix in the Indian Energy System (2030) Using India Energy Security Scenarios, 2047. NITI Aayog. New Delhi (India)
- [4] Mapple wood , Indore brochure.
- [5] Development of RETV Formula for Cooling Dominated Climates of India for the Eco-Niwas Samhita 2018, Prashant Kumar Bhanware, 16 th IBPSA international conference, Sept 2019, Rome.
- [6] J. K. Nayak and J. A. Prajapati, ,,,Handbook on Energy Conscious Buildings"" , Prepared under the interactive R & D project no. 3/4(03)/99-SEC between Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay and Solar Energy Centre, Ministry of Non-conventional Energy Sources, May 2006, chapter 1 Introduction.
- [7] Mili Muzumdar, ,,,Energy Efficient buildings in India"" , Tata Energy Research Institute and Ministry of Non-Conventional Energy resources, 2001, ISBN 81-85419-82-5 pp. 112 -114.
- [8] Letter No. 03/88/2015-16 GCRT, Government of India, Ministry of new and renewable energy , Solar energy Group dated 04/03/2016, clause no. 2(iii)
- [9] Notification no. 5/34/2013/RT, Government of India, Ministry of New and Renewable energy , Solar energy Group dated 19/11/2015, clause no.4
- [10] GRIHA Volume 1, "Introduction to national rating system", TERI Press & Ministry of new and renewable energy, Government of India, ISBN No 978-81-7993-414-2, pp. 10 -11.